









Our purpose

The Social Policy Evaluation and Research Unit's (Superu's) purpose is to increase the use of evidence by people across the social sector so that they can make better decisions – about funding, policies or services – to improve the lives of New Zealanders, New Zealand communities, families and whānau.

superu.govt.nz



About ANZEA

The Aotearoa New Zealand Evaluation Association (ANZEA) was established in 2006 to represent the unique values, needs, obligations and working context of Aotearoa New Zealand evaluators and to provide leadership on the development and enhancement of evaluation professional standards, ethics models, frameworks, theories and practices that pertain to Aotearoa New Zealand.

anzea.org.nz





Evaluation Standards for Aotearoa New Zealand

FOR PEOPLE COMMISSIONING, USING, PARTICIPATING IN, OR CONDUCTING EVALUATIONS

JUNE 2015

This is a summary of the full evaluation standards that can be found at: www.superu.govt.nz/standards



EVALUATION STANDARDS -

these standards outline expectations of evaluation process, practices and products.

Evaluation standards are important

Evaluation enables us to understand what policies and programmes are achieving for Aotearoa New Zealand families, whānau, communities, society and the environment. It informs us about how valuable the investment has been and what can be improved. To best inform decision-making and future actions, it is vital that evaluation practice, findings and conclusions are of the highest quality. Evaluation standards have been developed to make a strong public statement about the principles underlying robust, ethical and trustworthy evaluation.

EVALUATION – involves the systematic determination of the quality, value or significance of something. This includes discrete evaluation projects, evaluative monitoring, the production of evaluative information, evaluative learning and evaluation science.

Four principles frame the evaluation standards for Aotearoa New Zealand

The diagram contained in this summary shows four principles that underpin the conduct of evaluation with integrity. Each principle has five standards. The first two principles; 1) respectful, meaningful relationships and 2) ethic of care, are the foundational principles. These influence the realisation of the other two principles; 3) responsive methodologies and trustworthy results and 4) competence and usefulness.

THE STANDARDS ARE WIDELY APPLICABLE

The standards apply to evaluation where public, organisational or community resources are used to meet human and/or environmental needs, and should apply to all stages of the evaluation journey.

The standards should be applied with care

Applying the standards in each evaluation setting requires careful deliberation, responsiveness and judgment. They should be applied in conjunction with good practice and ethical conduct.

communitation	The diameter that and the evaluation is respected into the introduced in the spected in the spec	Care is taken so that the care is taken so that the practices, processes and products practices, processes and enhance of evaluation uphold and enhance the dignity of all involved.	Honest, respectful and reciprocal relationships with people, organisations and communities involved in and affected by evaluation.	Sepondo Sepond	of and roughout stated throughout stated and secure from stated and roughout stated and r
Communities are protected through appropriate ethical guidelines. Reciprocity involves recognising to evaluation, as well as ensuring of participants and their communities.	Drocks de la	Care	ation Relationships Respect to the property of the property	tomitte eta light ed to the ed to th	In evaluation contexts, self-determination the rights, worldviews and aspirations the rights, worldviews and their communities, including their guardianship of knowledge and resources.
Commissioners and evaluators have appropriate professional and cultural competencies, knowledge, skills and experience. The stance towards and the position of the position of the evaluators is negotiated at the beginning. Evaluators is negotiated at the beginning.	interdely translade then translade then translade then the end to be the	Usefulness and Accountability Accountability	Responded to the trustworthy to	Itative Seconsible of	The evaluation methodology and design is appropriate and purpose and context of the evaluation and the information needs of users. All aspects of the evaluation are carried poropriately to ensure robust findings. Out systematically, rigorously and robust findings.
evaluators is negous Evaluators effectively and delivered within the	be The evaluation is far in that is the communities which was in the communities which into the constant is the constant in th	Commissioners and evaluators are accountable for ensuring that evaluation is useful, of high quality and valuable.	found with found willes informing The interests and values informing the evaluation purpose, design and the evaluation purpose, design and transparent criteria are explicit and transparent criteria are explicit and transparent criteria are explicit and transparent	teasoning are a payed in the evaluation. Evaluation findings and conclusions Evaluation findings and conclusions Evaluation findings and conclusions Are accurate and value according to the evaluation.	obust findings. The informed evaluative and values and