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## Summary

New Zealand's National Education Monitoring Project commenced in 1993, with the task of assessing and reporting on the achievement of New Zealand primary school children in all areas of the school curriculum. Children are assessed at two class levels: year 4 (halfway through primary education) and year 8 (at the end of primary education). Different curriculum areas and skills are assessed each year, over a four-year cycle. The main goal of national monitoring is to provide detailed information about what children can do so that patterns of performance can be recognised, successes celebrated, and desirable changes to educational practices and resources identified and implemented.

Each year, small random samples of children are selected nationally, then assessed in their own schools by teachers specially seconded and trained for this work. Task instructions are given orally by teachers, through video presentations, on laptop computers, or in writing. Many of the assessment tasks involve the children in the use of equipment and supplies. Their responses are presented orally, by demonstration, in writing, in computer files, or through submission of other physical products. Many of the responses are recorded on videotape for subsequent analysis.

## CLARIFYING INFORMATION NEEDS

Chapter 3 presents information about students' skills in clarifying information needs based on 11 assessment tasks. Year 8 students enjoyed more success than year 4 students. Averaged across 43 task components attempted by both years, 14 percent more year 8 than year 4 students succeeded well with these components.


Averaged across nine trend task components attempted by year 4 students in both 2001 and 2005, three percent fewer students succeeded in 2005 than in 2001. This is a small decrease. At year 8 level, again with nine components included, on average there was no change between 2001 and 2005. Both of these trend results should be interpreted cautiously because they are based on just nine components of two trend tasks.


The use of many tasks with both year 4 and year 8 students allows comparisons of the performance of year 4 and 8 students in 2005. Because some tasks have been used twice, in 2001 and again in 2005, trends in performance across the four-year period can also be analysed.
In 2005, the third year of the third cycle of national monitoring, three areas were assessed: mathematics, social studies and information skills. This report presents details and results of the assessments of information skills.


Chapter 2 explains the place of information skills in the New Zealand curriculum and presents the framework for information skills. This identified three main content areas or strands: clarifying information needs, finding and gathering information, and analysing and using information. Within each of these areas, various strategies, skills and processes were identified. The importance of attitudes and motivation was also noted.

## FINDING AND GATHERING INFORMATION

Chapter 4 presents results for 24 tasks that involved finding and gathering information. Year 8 students enjoyed substantially more success than year 4 students. Averaged across 52 components of eight tasks attempted by both years, 23 percent more year 8 than year 4 students succeeded well with these components. Year 8 students scored higher on all 52 components.


Averaged across 25 components of two trend tasks attempted by year 4 students in both 2001 and 2005, one percent fewer students succeeded in 2005 than in 2001. This is a negligible decrease. At year 8 level, with 68 components of four trend tasks included, on average three percent more students succeeded in 2005. This is a small increase.

## ANALYSING AND USING INFORMATION

Chapter 5 presents results for 16 tasks that asked students to analyse and use information. Year 8 students enjoyed substantially more success than year 4 students. Averaged across 84 components of 11 tasks attempted by both years, 17 percent more year 8 than year 4 students succeeded well with these components.

Averaged across seven components of two trend tasks attempted by year 4 students in both 2001 and 2005, one percent fewer students succeeded in 2005 than in 2001. This is a negligible decrease, based on a small sample of tasks and components. At year 8 level, with 25 components of four tasks included, on average two percent fewer students succeeded in 2005. This decrease is also too small to be regarded as meaningful.


## OVERALL TRENDS

Overall trends can be assessed by considering all 12 trend tasks from Chapters 3 to 5 . For year 4 students, based on 41 components of six trend tasks, on average one percent fewer students than in 2001 succeeded with the task components in 2005. For year 8 students, based on 101 components of 10 trend tasks, one percent more students than in 2001 succeeded with the task components in 2005. Both of these trends are too small to be meaningful.


## INFORMATION SKILLS SURVEY

Chapter 6 focuses on the results of a survey that sought information from students about their strategies for, involvement in, and enjoyment of information gathering and interpreting activities. For both year 4 and year 8 students in 2005, the internet was by a substantial margin the most popular
 source of information, with a significant increase since 2001 both overall and relative to other sources such as libraries. A substantially greater proportion of year 8 than year 4 students reported that they had to find information for a project or topic heaps or quite a lot. Perhaps as a consequence of being given such tasks more frequently, year 8 students were much less inclined than year 4 students to be enthusiastic about hunting for information and about writing down the information they found. While year 4 students responded similarly to questions 1 and 2 , the pattern was quite different for year 8 students, suggesting that many of the information-finding projects which year 8 students were asked to attempt were not viewed as "really interesting". Most students are quite happy to share with others the information they have found. Only about 40 percent of students at both year levels report having used a library catalogue heaps or quite a lot. Where comparisons with 1997 and 2001 responses are possible, the results in 2005 appear to be very similar.

size is the difference in mean (average) performance of the two groups, divided by the pooled standard deviation of the scores on the particular task. For this summary, these effect sizes were averaged across all tasks.

Year 4 girls averaged slightly higher than boys, with a mean effect size of 0.14 (compared to 0.06 in 2001). Year 8 girls averaged moderately higher than boys, with a mean effect size of 0.27 (compared to 0.15 in 2001). As was also true in 2001, the information skills survey results at both year levels showed some evidence that girls were more positive than boys about information skills activities.

Pakeha students averaged moderately higher than Māori students, with mean

effect sizes of 0.36 for year 4 students and 0.27 for year 8 students (the corresponding figures in 2001 were 0.25 and 0.39).

Year 4 Pakeha students averaged moderately higher than Pasifika students, with a mean effect size of 0.37 (compared to 0.40 in 2001). Year 8 Pakeha students averaged substantially higher than Pasifika students, with a mean effect size of 0.48 (compared to 0.46 in 2001). The information skills survey results showed that Pasifika students were more involved in and enthusiastic about some aspects of information skills.

Compared to students for whom the predominant language at home was English, students from homes where other languages predominated averaged slightly lower, with mean effect sizes of 0.16 for year 4 students and 0.18 for year 8 students. Comparative figures are not available for the assessments in 2001.

## The National Education Monitoring Project



This chapter presents a concise outline of the rationale and operating procedures for national monitoring, together with some information about the reactions of participants in the 2005 assessments. Detailed information about the sample of students and schools is available in the Appendix.

## Purpose of National Monitoring

The New Zealand Curriculum Framework (1993, p26) states that the purpose of national monitoring is to provide information on how well overall national standards are being maintained, and where improvements might be needed.
The focus of the National Education Monitoring Project (NEMP) is on the educational achievements and attitudes of New Zealand primary and intermediate school children. NEMP provides a national "snapshot" of children's knowledge, skills and motivation, and a way to identify which aspects are improving, staying constant, or declining. This information allows successes to be celebrated and priorities for curriculum change and teacher development to be debated

more effectively, with the goal of helping to improve the education which children receive.

Assessment and reporting procedures are designed to provide a rich picture of what children can do and thus to optimise value to the educational community. The result is a detailed national picture of student achievement. It is neither feasible nor appropriate, given the purpose and the approach used, to release information about individual students or schools.

## Monitoring at Two Class Levels

National monitoring assesses and reports what children know and can do at two levels in primary and intermediate schools: year 4 (ages 8-9) and year 8 (ages 12-13).

## National Samples of Students

National monitoring information is gathered using carefully selected random samples of students, rather than all year 4 and year 8 students. This enables a relatively extensive exploration of students' achievement, far more detailed than would be possible if all students were to be

assessed. The main national samples of 1440 year 4 children and 1440 year 8 children represent about 2.5 percent of the children at those levels in New Zealand schools, large enough samples to give a trustworthy national picture. At year 8 level only, a special sample of 96 children learning in Māori immersion schools or classes is selected. Their achievement will be reported in a separate report.

## Three Sets of Tasks at Each Level

So that a considerable amount of information can be gathered without placing too many demands on individual students, different students attempt different tasks. The 1440 students selected in the main sample at each year level are divided into three groups of 480 students, comprising four students from each of 120 schools. Each group attempts one third of the tasks.

## Timing of Assessments

The assessments take place in the second half of the school year, between August and November. The year 8 assessments occur first, over a five-

week period. The year 4 assessments follow, over a similar period. Each student participates in about four hours of assessment activities spread over one week.

## Specially Trained Teacher <br> Administrators

The assessments are conducted by experienced teachers, usually working in their own region of New Zealand. They are selected from a national pool of applicants, attend a week of specialist training in Wellington led by senior Project staff and then work in pairs to conduct assessments of 60 children over five weeks. Their employing school is fully-funded by the Project to employ a relief teacher during their secondment.


## Four-Year Assessment Cycle

Each year, the assessments cover about one quarter of the areas within the national curriculum for primary schools. The New Zealand Curriculum Framework is the blueprint for the school curriculum. It places emphasis on seven essential learning areas, eight essential skills and a variety of attitudes and values. National monitoring aims to address all of these areas, rather than restrict itself to preselected priority areas.
The first four-year cycle of assessments began in 1995 and was completed in 1998. The second cycle ran from 1999 to 2002. The third cycle began in 2003 and will finish in 2006. The areas covered each year and the reports produced for cycle 2 and the first three years of cycle 3 are listed opposite the contents page of this report.

Some of the tasks are kept constant from one cycle to the next. This re-use of tasks allows trends in achievement across a four-year interval to be observed and reported. Starting from 2002, the percentage of tasks retained was increased from 35 to 45 percent, so that trends will be able to be reported more thoroughly.

## Important Learning Outcomes

 AssessedThe assessment tasks emphasise aspects of the curriculum which are particularly important to life in our
community, and which are likely to be of enduring importance to students. Care is taken to achieve balanced coverage of important skills, knowledge and understandings within the various curriculum strands, but without attempting to follow slavishly the finer details of current curriculum statements. Such details change from time to time, whereas national monitoring needs to take a long-term perspective if it is to achieve its goals.

## Wide Range of Task Difficulty

National monitoring aims to show what students know and can do. Because children at any particular class level vary greatly in educational development, tasks spanning multiple levels of the curriculum need to be included if all children are to enjoy some success and all children are to experience some challenge. Many tasks include several aspects, progressing from aspects most children can handle well to aspects that are less straightforward.

## Engaging Task Approaches

Special care is taken to use tasks and approaches that interest students and stimulate them to do their best. Students' individual efforts are not reported and have no obvious consequences for them. This means that worthwhile and engaging tasks are needed to ensure that students' results represent their capabilities rather than their level of motivation. One helpful
factor is that extensive use is made of equipment and supplies which allow students to be involved in hands-on activities. Presenting some of the tasks on video or computer also allows the use of richer stimulus material and standardises the presentation of those tasks.

## Positive Student Reactions to Tasks

At the conclusion of each assessment session, students completed evaluation forms in which they identified tasks that they particularly enjoyed, tasks they felt relatively neutral about and tasks that did not appeal. Averaged across all tasks in the 2004 assessments, 75 percent of year 4 students indicated that they particularly enjoyed the tasks. The range across the 131 tasks was from 91 percent down to 46 percent. As usual, year 8 students were more demanding. On average, 57 percent of them indicated that they particularly enjoyed the tasks, with a range across 181 tasks from 89 percent down to 23 percent. Four tasks were more disliked than liked, by year 8 students only. These were two mathematics tasks involving fractions, a social studies task about the role of the Governor General, and an information skills task summarising a passage about Dame Kiri Te Kanawa.

## Appropriate Support for Students

A key goal in Project planning is to minimise the extent to which student strengths or weaknesses in one area of the curriculum might unduly influence their assessed performance in other areas. For instance, skills in reading and writing often play a key role in success or failure in paper-and-pencil tests in areas such as science, social studies, or even mathematics. In national monitoring, a majority of tasks are presented orally by teachers, on video, or on computer, and most answers are given orally or by demonstration rather than in writing. Where reading or writing skills are required to perform tasks in areas other than reading and writing, teachers are happy to help students to understand these tasks or to communicate their responses. Teachers are working with no more than four students at a time, so are readily available to help individuals.
To free teachers further to concentrate on providing appropriate guidance and help to students, so that the students

achieve as well as they can, teachers are not asked to record judgements on the work the students are doing. All marking and analysis is done later, when the students' work has reached the Project office in Dunedin. Some of the work comes on paper, but much of it arrives recorded on videotape. In 2005, about half of the students' work came in that form, on a total of about 3600 videotapes. The video recordings give a detailed picture of what students and teachers did and said, allowing rich analysis of both process and task achievement.

## Four Task Approaches Used

In 2005, four task approaches were used. Each student was expected to spend about an hour working in each format. The four approaches were:

- One-to-one interview

Each student worked individually with a teacher, with the whole session recorded on videotape.

- Stations

Four students, working independently, moved around a series of stations where tasks had been set up. This session was not videotaped.

## - Team

Four students worked collaboratively, supervised by a teacher, on some tasks. This session was recorded on videotape.

- Group and Independent

Four students worked collaboratively, supervised by a teacher, on some tasks. This was recorded on videotape. The students then worked individually on some paper-and-pencil tasks.
Professional Development Benefits for Teacher Administrators

The teacher administrators reported that they found their training and assessment work very stimulating and professionally enriching. Working
so closely with interesting tasks administered to 60 children in at least five schools offered valuable insights. Some teachers have reported major changes in their teaching and assessment practices as a result of their experiences working with the Project. Given that 96 teachers served as teacher administrators in 2005, or about half a percent of all primary teachers, the Project is making a major contribution to the professional development of teachers in assessment knowledge and skills. This contribution is steadily growing, since preference for appointment each year is given to teachers who have not previously served as teacher administrators. The total after 11 years is 1070 different teachers, 39 of whom have served more than once.

## Marking Arrangements

The marking and analysis of the students' work occurs in Dunedin. The marking process includes extensive discussion of initial examples and careful checks of the consistency of marking by different markers.
Tasks which can be marked objectively or with modest amounts of professional experience usually are marked by senior tertiary students, most of whom have completed two or three years of pre-service preparation for primary school teaching. Forty-four student markers worked on the 2005 tasks, employed five hours per day for about five weeks.

The tasks that require higher levels of professional judgement are marked by teachers, selected from throughout New Zealand. In 2005, 172 teachers were appointed as markers. Most teachers worked either mornings or afternoons for one week. Teacher professional development through participation in the marking process is another substantial benefit from


national monitoring. In evaluations of their experiences on a four-point scale ("dissatisfied" to "highly satisfied"), 67 to 94 percent of the teachers who marked student work from 2005 chose "highly satisfied" in response to questions about:

- the instructions and guidance given during marking sessions
- the degree to which marking was professionally satisfying and interesting
- its contribution to their professional development in the area of assessment
- the overall experience.


## Analysis of Results

The results are analysed and reported task by task. Most task reports include a total score, created by adding scores for appropriate task components. Details of how the total score has been constructed for particular assessment tasks can be obtained from the NEMP office (earu @otago.ac.nz).

Although the emphasis is on the overall national picture, some attention is also given to possible differences in performance patterns for different demographic groups and categories of school. The variables considered are:

- Student gender:
- male
- female
- Student ethnicity:
- Māori
- Pasifika
- Pakeha (including Asian)
- Home language:
(predominant language spoken at home)
- English
- any other language
- Geographical zone:
- Greater Auckland
- other North Island
- South Island
- Size of community:
- main centre over 100,000
- provincial city of 10,000 to 100,000
- rural area or town of less than 10,000
- Socio-economic index for the school:
- lowest three deciles
- middle four deciles
- highest three deciles
- Size of school:
year 4 schools
- less than 25 year-4 students
-25 to 60 year-4 students
- more than 60 year-4 students

YEAR 8 schools

- less than 35 year-8 students
-35 to 150 year- 8 students
- more than 150 year- 8 students
- Type of school: (for year 8 sample only)
- full primary school
- intermediate school
- year 7-13 high school (some students were in other types of schools, but too few to allow separate analysis).
Categories containing fewer children, such as Asian students or female Māori students, were not used because the resulting statistics would be based on the performance of less than 70 children, and would therefore be unreliable.

An exception to this guideline was made for Pasifika children and children whose home language was not English because of the agreed importance of gaining some information about their performance.

## Funding Arrangements

National monitoring is funded by the Ministry of Education, and organised by the Educational Assessment Research Unit at the University of Otago, under the direction of Professor Terry Crooks and Lester Flockton. The current contract runs until 2007. The cost is about $\$ 3$ million per year, less than one tenth of a percent of the budget allocation for primary and secondary education. Almost half of the funding is used to pay for the time and expenses of the teachers who assist with the assessments as task developers, teacher administrators or markers.


## Reviews by International Scholars

In June 1996, three scholars from the United States and England, with distinguished international reputations in the field of educational assessment, accepted an invitation from the Project directors to visit the Project. They conducted a thorough review of the progress of the Project, with particular attention to the procedures and tasks used in 1995 and the results emerging. At the end of their review, they prepared a report which concluded as follows:

> The National Education Monitoring Project is well conceived and admirably implemented. Decisions about design, task development, scoring and reporting have been made thoughtfully. The work is of exceptionally high quality and displays considerable originality. We believe that the project has considerable potential for advancing the understanding of and public debate about the educational achievement of New Zealand students. It may also serve as a model for national and/or state monitoring in other countries.
(Professors Paul Black, Michael Kane \& Robert Linn, 1996)

A further review was conducted late in 1998 by another distinguished panel (Professors Elliot Eisner, Caroline Gipps and Wynne Harlen). Amid very helpful suggestions for further refinements and investigations, they commented that:

> We want to acknowledge publicly that the overall design of NEMP is very well thought through... The vast majority of tasks are well designed, engaging to students and consistent with good assessment principles in making clear to students what is expected of them.

## Further Information

A more extended description of national monitoring, including detailed information about task development procedures, is available in:
Flockton, L. (1999). School-wide Assessment: National Education Monitoring Project. Wellington: New Zealand Council for Educational Research.

# Assessing Information Skills 



The New Zealand Curriculum Framework includes information skills as one of the eight groupings of essential skills. It states (p18) that students will:

- identify, locate, gather, store, retrieve and process information from a range of sources
- organise, analyse, synthesize, evaluate, and use information
- present information clearly, logically, concisely, and accurately
- identify, describe, and interpret different points of view, and distinguish fact from opinion
- use a range of information-retrieval and information-processing technologies confidently and competently.

These skills are clearly important to everyday life in our communities. The range and quantity of information available to us is rapidly increasing, and skill in accessing, collating, interpreting and using information is very helpful to most educational, work and leisure activities.


## Other National Monitoring Reports

Some of the skills listed above are assessed in other national monitoring reports. For instance, reports on Graphs, Tables and Maps results (1995, 1999 and 2003 assessments) have examined in some depth students' capabilities in making use of graphs, tables and maps to find, interpret or present information. Similarly, reports on Reading and Speaking results (1996, 2000 and 2004 assessments) have dealt quite extensively with students' skills in finding and understanding written information, and their skills in presenting information clearly in oral form. Most other NEMP reports have also, to a greater or lesser degree, required students to identify, interpret, organise, evaluate and present information.

## The Role of This Report

Despite the substantial coverage of information skills in other reports, it was always intended that national monitoring should include one set of assessments specifically focused on information skills, with special emphasis

on skills which would be only lightly or unsystematically covered in other reports. These skills include clarifying information needs, finding suitable sources of information, searching those sources for specific information needed, gathering that information, and interpreting, collating and reporting information.

## Framework for Assessment of Information Skills

National monitoring task frameworks are developed with the Project's curriculum advisory panels. These frameworks have two key purposes. They provide a valuable guideline structure for the development and selection of tasks, and they bring into focus those important dimensions of the learning domain which are arguably the basis for valid analyses of students' skills, knowledge and understandings.
The assessment frameworks are intended to be flexible and broad enough to encourage and enable the development of tasks that lead to meaningful descriptions of what students know and can do.

## NEMP INFORMATION SKILLS FRAMEWORK 2005

## Finding and using information to meet diverse needs

- clarifying information needs $\bullet$ finding and gathering information - analysing and using information


## STRATEGIES, SKILLS AND PROCESSES

## Clarifying information needs

Asking questions:

- What does this task require me to know?
- What do I already know?
- What do I need to do?

Finding and gathering information

- Knowing about sources of information.
- Identifying sources of information for a purpose.
- Accessing those sources of information.
- Finding information within the sources and evaluating for relevance and quality.
- Selecting and recording the most relevant information.
- Recording the source of the information.


## Analysing and using information

- Analysing and interpreting information.
- Evaluating which information is most valuable for the purpose.
- Discarding information.
- Sorting and organising information.
- Synthesizing and applying information to the task.
- Communicating the information.
- Evaluating how well the purpose has been achieved (knowledge, skills and attitudes).


## LIKELY SOURCES OF INFORMATION

- people
- newspapers
- books
- dictionaries
- atlases
- catalogues
- audio tapes
- videos/films/DVDs
- pictures/photos
- charts
- posters


## ATTITUDES AND MOTIVATION

## Curiosity

I want to know
Open-mindedness
l'll allow new information to change my thinking

Discrimination
I'll critically evaluate information

## Confidence

I know how to go about it
Self-management
I can plan what to do and get it done

## Perseverance

I don't give up easily

## Satisfaction

I enjoy using information to learn

They are also designed to help ensure a balanced representation of important learning outcomes.

The information skills framework has a central organising theme, three interrelated content areas, and lists of strategies, skills or processes associated with each content area.


A wide range of possible sources of information is highlighted, and attention is drawn in the final section to the importance of students' attitudes and motivation.

The most important message emerging from the framework is that students possessing well-developed information skills can perform three main tasks effectively: clarifying information needs, finding and gathering relevant information, and then analysing and using that information to meet the required purposes. A substantial proportion of the intellectual demands occur during the first and third of these tasks: finding and gathering information is clearly important, but its value is greatly dependent on the extent to which it can be validly interpreted and used to answer important questions.

## The Choice of Tasks for National Monitoring

The choice of tasks for national monitoring is guided by a number of educational and practical considerations. Uppermost in any decisions relating to the choice or administration of a task is the central consideration of validity and the effect that a whole range of decisions can have on this key attribute. Tasks are chosen because they provide a good representation of important knowledge and skills, but also because they meet a number of requirements to do with their administration and presentation. For example:

- Each task, with its associated materials, needs to be structured to ensure a high level of consistency in the way it is presented by specially trained teacher administrators to students of wide-ranging backgrounds and abilities, and in diverse settings throughout New Zealand.
- Tasks need to span the expected range of capabilities of year 4 and 8 students and to allow the most able students to show the extent of their abilities while also giving the least able the opportunity to show what they can do.
- Materials for tasks need to be sufficiently portable, economical, safe and within the handling capabilities of students. Task materials also need to have meaning for students.
- The time needed for completing an individual task has to be balanced against the total time available for all of the assessment tasks, without denying students sufficient opportunity to demonstrate their capabilities.
- Each task needs to be capable of sustaining the attention and effort of students if they are to produce responses that truly indicate what they know and can do. Since neither the student nor the school receives immediate or specific feedback on performance, the motivational potential of the assessment is critical.
- Tasks need to avoid unnecessary bias on the grounds of gender, culture or social background while accepting that it is appropriate to have tasks that reflect the interests of particular groups within the community.


National Monitoring Information Skills Assessment Tasks and Survey
Fifty-two information skills tasks were administered. Each student also completed a survey questionnaire that investigated their interests, attitudes and involvement in information skills activities.

Twelve tasks were administered in one-to-one interview settings, where students used materials and visual information. Eight tasks were presented in team or group situations involving small groups of students working together. Twenty-seven tasks were attempted in a stations arrangement, where students worked independently on a series of tasks, some presented on laptop computers. The final five tasks were administered in an independent approach, where students sat at desks or tables and worked through a series of paper-and-pencil tasks.

Twenty-five of the 52 tasks were the same or overlapped substantially for year 4 and year 8 students. Of the remaining tasks, five were specifically for year 4 students and 22 for year 8 students. Some of these single year tasks had parallel tasks at the other level, but with different stimulus material or significantly different instructions.

## Trend Tasks

Twelve of the tasks in this report were previously used in identical form in the 2001 information skills assessments. These were called link tasks in the 2001 report, but were not described in detail to avoid any distortions in 2005 results that might have occurred if the tasks had been widely available for use in schools since 2001. In the current report, these tasks are called trend tasks and are used to examine trends in student performance: whether they have improved, stayed constant or declined over the four-year period since the 2001 assessments.

## Link Tasks

To allow comparisons between the 2005 and 2009 assessments, 23 of the tasks used for the first time in 2005 have been designated link tasks. Results of student performance on these tasks are presented in this report, but the tasks are described only in general terms because they will be used again in 2009.

## Marking Methods

The students' responses were assessed using specially designed marking procedures. The criteria used had been developed in advance by Project staff, but were sometimes modified as a result of issues raised during the marking. Tasks that required marker judgement and were common to year 4 and year 8 were intermingled during marking sessions, with the goal of ensuring that the same scoring standards and procedures were used for both.

## Task-by-Task Reporting

National monitoring assessment is reported task by task so that results can be understood in relation to what the students were asked to do.

## Access Tasks

Teachers and principals have expressed considerable interest in access to NEMP
 task materials and marking instructions, so that they can use them within their own schools. Some are interested in comparing the performance of their own students to national results on some aspects of the curriculum, while others want to use tasks as models of good practice. Some would like to modify tasks to suit their own purposes, while others want to follow the original procedures as closely as possible. There is obvious merit in making available carefully developed tasks that are seen to be highly valid and useful for assessing student learning.
Some of the tasks in this report cannot be made available in this way. Link tasks must be saved for use in four years' time, and other tasks use copyright or expensive resources that cannot be duplicated by NEMP and provided economically to schools. There are also limitations on how precisely a school's administration and marking of tasks can mirror the ways that they are administered and marked by the Project. Nevertheless, a substantial number of tasks are suitable to duplicate for teachers and schools. In this report, these access tasks are identified with the symbol above, and can be purchased in a kit from the New Zealand Council for Educational Research (P.O. Box 3237, Wellington 6000, New Zealand). Teachers are also encouraged to use the NEMP web site (http://nemp.otago.ac.nz) to view video clips and listen to audio material associated with some of the tasks.


## Clarifying Information Needs



The assessments included 11 tasks that allowed students to show their skills in clarifying information needs through analysing what information was required, planning how to obtain the information, and selecting or developing appropriate questions.

Six tasks were identical for both year 4 and year 8 students, two were attempted only by year 4 students and three were attempted only by year 8 students. Three are trend tasks (fully described with data for both 2001 and 2005), three are released tasks (fully described with data for 2005 only) and five are link tasks (to be used again in 2009, so only partially described here).
The tasks are presented in the following order:

- trend tasks attempted by both year 4 and year 8 students;
- trend tasks attempted by only year 4 or year 8 students;
- released tasks attempted by both year 4 and year 8 students;
- released tasks attempted by only year 4 or year 8 students;
- link tasks attempted by both year 4 and year 8 students;
- link tasks attempted by only year 4 or year 8 students.

Year 8 students enjoyed more success than year 4 students. Averaged across 43 task components attempted by both years, 14 percent more year 8 than year 4 students succeeded well with these components. Year 8 students scored higher on 39 components and lower on four components.
Averaged across nine trend task components attempted by year 4 students in both 2001 and 2005, three percent fewer students succeeded in 2005 than in 2001. This is a small decrease. At year 8 level, again with nine components included, on average there was no change between 2001 and 2005 . Both of these trend results should be interpreted cautiously because they are based on just nine components of two trend tasks.

## Questions / instructions:

The Prime Minister is going to talk to a class about being a Prime Minister.

The class made up some questions to ask the Prime Minister.


There are too many questions.

1. Choose 5 of the questions that you think would be good to find out about being a Prime Minister.
2. Write the number of each question card in these boxes:

| 1st question |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2nd question |  |
| 3rd question |  |
| 4th question |  |
| 5th question |  |



## Commentary:

Forty percent more year 8 than year 4 students identified five appropriate questions to ask the Prime Minister. There was little change between 2001 and 2005.

Approach:
Focus:
Resources:

Team
Planning information gathering and appropriate questions
A3 recording sheet, 2 instruction cards, 2 A4 answer sheets, highlighter pen

## Questions / instructions

In this activity you are going to start planning a study on spiders.
You are going to do a brainstorm about spiders, which means writing down all of the ideas and information you know about spiders.

## Give out A3 sheet and pen.

This piece of paper is for you to write down everything you know about spiders. Remember to write down everyone's ideas. Here is a card to remind you what you have to do.

Read instruction card to team. Stand back and allow sufficient time.

Spiders Brainstorm

1. Choose someone to write.
2. Write down everyone's ideas.
3. Make sure everyone says their ideas. 4. Tell the teacher when you have finished.

Now you are going to work in pairs to decide what other information you might need for a study on spiders. After that, I want you to write four questions about spiders that would help you to search for the information you need. These are questions that you don't know the answers to. This card will remind you what you have to do.

## Read card to team.

You have about five minutes to make up your questions.
Assign students to pairs - students 1 and 2; and students 3 and 4 . Give each pair an answer sheet, pencils and instruction card. Allow about five minutes.

Now you are going to work together as a group again. Show and read your four questions to each other. After that, decide on three of the best questions that will help you to find the information for your study. Use the highlighter pen to mark them.

## Allow time for the group to identify three questions.

Now read to me the three questions you highlighted.

| Brainstorm process: | $\%$ response <br> $2005(01)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Involvement - <br> all members contributed substantially | $61(60)$ |
| $3 / 4$ or $2 / 3$ members contributed |  |
| substantially |  |$\quad 36(33)$

## Selection of final three questions:

 Collaboration -decisions made by consensus, involving constructive dialogue decisions made by consensus, quick agreement without much discussion decisions made without consensus, through initiative of one or two members decisions made after disagreement, with disagreements clearly not resolved (at least one person unhappy about decision)

## Questions selected:

First Question -
gave relevant "new" information, potentially very rich in detail/depth gave relevant "new" information, but likely to be quite succinct (eg. single fact) gave irrelevant information or information already available in brainstorm
Second Question -
gave relevant "new" information, potentially very rich in detail/depth gave relevant "new" information, but likely to be quite succinct (eg. single fact)
gave irrelevant information or information already available in brainstorm

## Third Question -

gave relevant "new" information, potentially very rich in detail/depth
gave relevant "new" information, but likely to be quite succinct
(eg. single fact)
gave irrelevant information or information already available in brainstorm

## Total score:

## Commentary:

A high proprotion of the groups made their decisions in a positive, collaborative way. Almost half developed either two or three strong questions suitable for rich information. Performance was a little weaker in 2005 than in 2001.

Planning information gathering and appropriate questions

## Questions / instructions:

In this activity you are going to start planning a study on ANZAC Day. You are going to do a brainstorm about ANZAC Day, which means writing down all of the ideas and information you know.

## Give out blank A3 sheet and pen.

This piece of paper is for you to write down everything you know about ANZAC Day. Remember to write down everyone's ideas. Here is a card to remind you what you have to do.
Read instruction card (ANZAC Day Brainstorm) to team. Stand back and allow sufficient time.

## ANZAC Day Brainstorm

1. Choose someone to write.

2 Write down everyone's ideas.
3. Make sure everyone says their ideas.
4. Tell the teacher when you have finished.

Now you are going to work in pairs to decide what other information you might need for a study on ANZAC Day. After that, I want you to write four questions about ANZAC Day that would help you to search for the information you need. These are questions that you don't know the answers to. This card will remind you what you have to do.

Read card (ANZAC Day Pair Questions) to team.
You have about five minutes to make up your questions.

## ANZAC Day Pair Questions

1. Talk about what you need to find out about Anzac Day.
2. Write four questions that will help you to search for the information you need about Anzac Day.

Assign students to pairs - Students 1 and 2; and students 3 and 4. Give each pair an answer sheet, pencils and instruction card. Allow about five minutes.
Now you are going to work together as a group again. Show and read your four questions to each other. After that, decide on three of the best questions that will help you to find the information for your study. Use the highlighter pen to mark them.
Allow time for the group to identify three questions.
Now read to me the three questions
you highlighted.
$3 / 4$ or $2 / 3$ members contributed substantially
$1 / 4,2 / 4$ or $1 / 3$ members contributed substantially
Acceptance -
all ideas received constructively
majority of ideas received constructively half or less of ideas received

$$
82(62)
$$

$$
16 \text { (38) }
$$ constructively

$$
2(0)
$$

Rejection - no member had all or most of their ideas rejected

$$
96(90)
$$ one member had all or most of their ideas rejected

$$
3(10)
$$

two or more members had all or most of their ideas rejected
$\%$ response year 8 45 (38) 42 (43)
1 (0)

## Selection of final three questions: Collaboration -

decisions made by consensus, involving constructive dialogue decisions made by consensus, quick agreement without much discussion decisions made without consensus, through initiative of one or two members decisions made after disagreement, with disagreements clearly not resolved (at least one person unhappy about decision)

## Questions selected:

First Question -
gave relevant "new" information, potentially very rich in detail/depth gave relevant "new" information, but likely to be quite succinct (eg. single fact)
gave irrelevant information or information already available in brainstorm

## Second Question -

gave relevant "new" information, potentially very rich in detail/depth
gave relevant "new" information, but likely to be quite succinct
(eg. single fact)
gave irrelevant information or information already available in brainstorm

## Third Question -

gave relevant "new" information, potentially very rich in detail/depth
gave relevant "new" information, but likely to be quite succinct
(eg. single fact)
gave irrelevant information or information already available in brainstorm

Total score:

\% response
2005 ('01)
year 8

21 (22)

49 (36)

28 (40)
$2(2)$

32 (37)
66 (58)
$2(5)$
$42(43)$
$55(55)$

$$
3(2)
$$



5 |  |  |
| ---: | :---: |
| 6 |  |
| 6 |  |
| 5 |  |
| 4 | $7(2)$ |
| 3 |  |
| $0-2$ | $32(25)$ |

## Commentary:

The performance of year 8 students, on this task, closely parallels the performance of year 4 students on the similar task, Spiders. A high proportion of the groups made their decisions in a positive, collaborative way. Almost half developed either two or three strong questions, suitable for gathering rich information. Performance was similar in 2005 and 2001.

Task: Olympic Games History
Approach: Independent
Focus: Identifying information needs for a purpose
Resources: Olympic rings A4 answer sheet

## Questions / instructions:

Imagine that you have been chosen to give an interesting talk to your class about the history of the Olympic Games.
You could find lots of good information on the internet.

1. In each circle, write one of the things that you would search for on the internet.


Examples of broad, relevant information:

- history of events/sports
- world sportspeople
- NZ sportspeople
- NZ connection to the Olympics
- Ancient Games
- Origin of the Games
- Modern Games

Blue Ring:

Yellow Ring:




## Commentary:

More than half of the year 4 students identified relevant information for most of the circles, but largely focused on specific facts rather than broader issues. Year 8 students performed better, on average, with 74 percent scoring five or higher, compared to 45 percent of year 4 students.


Resources:
Identifying information needs for a purpose
Picture of web page

## Questions / instructions:



Imagine that you have been chosen to give an interesting talk to your class about ANZAC Day, using the RSA website.
Before you hunt for information, you could think about what you want to find out.
Write the things you want to find out using the RSA website.

## Examples of relevant information:

- Poppy Day
- 90th Anniversary of ANZAC Day
- Gallipoli Campaign
- History of ANZAC Day
- When ANZAC Day occurs
- Who is commemorated
- ANZAC Day activities



## Commentary:

Fifty-six percent of the year 8 students identified three or more relevant things to find out about.

## LINK TASK: 1

| Approach: | Station |
| ---: | :--- |
| Year: | 4 \& 8 |
| Focus: | Identifying information needs |

## LINK TASK: 3

| Approach: | Independent |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Year: | 4 \& 8 |
| Focus: | Identifying information needs |


| Total score: | 9-13 | 3 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7-8 | 15 | 40 |
|  | 5-6 | 34 | 23 |
|  | 3-4 | 27 | 15 |
|  | 0-2 | 21 | 7 |

## LINK TASK: 5

LINK TASK: 2

## Approach: Team <br> Year: 4 \& 8

Focus: Appropriate information sources

LINK TASK: 4
Approach: Team
Year: 4
Focus: Identifying appropriate questions
Total score:


Approach: Station
Year: 8
Focus: Planning an information project

| Total score: | 9-10 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7-8 | 34 |
|  | 5-6 | 30 |
|  | 3-4 | 19 |
|  | 0-2 | 4 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Total score:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7-8 \\
& 5-6 \\
& 3-4 \\
& 0-2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Finding and Gathering Information

The assessments included 24 tasks that explored how well the students could find and gather information. Specifically, the tasks explored students' knowledge and skills relating to:

- the organisation of libraries, reference books and other books
- the types of information available from different sources
- finding particular information in books, pamphlets, diagrams, video recordings, and simulations of the internet
- extracting and recording relevant information.

Six tasks were identical for both year 4 and year 8 students, two were very similar for year 4 and year 8 students but truncated for year 4 students, three were attempted only by year 4 students and 13 were attempted only by year 8 students. Five are trend tasks (fully described with data for both 2001 and 2005), nine are released tasks (fully described with data for 2005 only) and 10 are link tasks (to be used again in 2009, so only partially described here).
The tasks are presented in the following order:

- trend tasks attempted by both year 4 and year 8 students
- trend tasks attempted by only year 4 or year 8 students
- released tasks attempted by both year 4 and year 8 students
- released tasks attempted by only year 4 or year 8 students
- link tasks attempted by both year 4 and year 8 students
- link tasks attempted by only year 4 or year 8 students.

Year 8 students enjoyed substantially more success than year 4 students. Averaged across 52 components of eight tasks attempted by both years, 23 percent more year 8 than year 4 students succeeded well with these components. Year 8 students scored higher on all 52 components.
Averaged across 25 components of two trend tasks attempted by year 4 students in both 2001 and 2005, one percent fewer students succeeded in 2005 than in 2001. This is a negligible decrease. At year 8 level, with 68 components of four trend tasks included, on average three percent more students succeeded in 2005. This is a small increase.

-- Kids helping to Save the World! --


Questions / instructions:


## Place library classification cards in front of student.

These signs show the different book sections in a library.


I'm going to show you some covers of books. I want you to tell me the section of the library where you would find each book.

Show student each book cover in numerical order, 1-16.
Which section of the library would you find this book?
Record the classification for each book.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { \% response } \\ \text { 2005 ('01) } \\ \text { year } 4 \\ \text { year 8 }\end{array}\right\}$

## Commentary:

Forty-seven percent of year 8 students, compared to ten percent of year 4 students, correctly classified more than 12 of the 16 books. There was little change at either year level between 2001 and 2005.Video recording on laptop computer, cartoon card

## Questions / instructions:

This activity uses the computer.
This activity is called Bats. We'll start by watching a short video about Calvin. Calvin has to do a study about bats, and he asks Hobbes to help him.
Click the Bats button. The video will start.

## Hand student cartoon card.

If you were Hobbes, what would you say to Calvin so that he would know how to find information about bats?
Where could Calvin go to find information about bats?

[Video shows four still cartoons in sequence, identical to the cartoon card above; voice-over same as text shown.]


## Commentary:

There is some evidence of reduced emphasis on using a library as an appropriate source of information and greater interest in fieldwork. Results were a little higher in 2005 than in 2001.

```
Approach: Station
Station Year:
8
    Focus:
Resources:
Information sources, search processing and reporting findings
Video recording on laptop computer, cartoon card
```


[Video shows four still cartoons in sequence, identical to the cartoon card above; voiceover same as text shown.]

## Questions / instructions:

This activity uses the computer.
Click on the button that says Bats.
The video will play.
Calvin has to do a project study about bats. He asks Hobbes to help him. Hobbes asks YOU!
Hobbes wants a step-by-step list which tells:

- where he and Calvin can go for information
- what they should do to find information on bats
- what to do with the information when they find it.
Write a step-by-step list of what they should do. Write them in the order they should be done.

1. 
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. 
8. 
9. 
10. 
11. $\qquad$
mentioned initial thought about what information was going to be needed or useful

Sources mentioned:
computer
(internet, computer encyclopaedia, etc) encyclopaedia (book or not specified) library
zoo, museum, information centre experts (museum curator, zookeeper,biologist) teacher, family, friends fieldwork finding and looking at bats
\% response
2005 ('01)


## Commentary:

Year8 students placed much greater emphasis on using internet or computer resources in 2005 than in 2001, with a smaller decline in emphasis on library use. Overall, performance was a little higher in 2005 than in 2001.

| Trend Task: | NEIMP | On the Double |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach: | Team ${ }_{\text {atas }}^{\text {Access }}$ Task | Year: 8 |
| Focus: | Recording information from a video |  |
| Resources: | Video recording on laptop computer, 4 answer sheets |  |



## Questions / instructions:

This activity uses the computer.

## Hand out answer sheets.



In this activity you will be watching a video. The video will tell you what you are to do.

## Click the On the Double button to start the video.

VIDEO VOICE-OVER (VIDEO IS STLLL SHOTS ONLY):
You are about to listen to an article on bike racing. The article tells of Steffi Lilibee and her father getting ready to race their tandem bike. You will hear the article being read two times. The first time you hear it, listen carefully to the information. Just listen; don'† write any notes. We'll start now.
"Now, have we got everything ready?" asks Steffi's dad. "Helmet, gloves, waterbottle?"
"Yes," says Steffi. "Come on. Let's go!"
Steffi and her dad are getting ready for a cycle race. It's just a fun race but Steffi keeps thinking how awesome it would be if they won. They're going to ride their tandem - a bike that's built for two people.

On a tandem bike, the person on the front seat controls the brakes, gears and steering. The pedals are joined by a long chain so that the riders have to pedal in time with each other.

The race starts at Glenhope, about 90 kilometres south of Nelson and it finishes at Murchison, a distance of almost 44 kilometres. Steffi and her dad have been doing lots of training so Steffi knows she can pedal that far. The weather forecast sounds okay - sunny and warm with strong south-west winds. That means they'll be cycling into a head wind all the way
Dad checks the brakes while Steffi pumps up the tyres
Steffi's wearing her cycling gear. She has lycra shorts, with a padded seat to stop her backside getting too sore. Her jersey has pockets in the back to hold snacks such as a banana or a muesli bar. She also has special shoes with stiff soles that clip directly onto the pedals.

Steffi checks the cycle computer on her handlebars. It's connected to the back wheel and it tells her how fast the bike is going, how far they have come and how long they will have cycled for.
Steffi and dad sign on for the race and collect their race number. Dad ties the number 15 round Steffi's waist. She hopes it'll be a lucky number.
Now you will hear the same information again. This time jot down notes in the boxes on your answer sheet as you listen to the reading.

Remember, only write useful or important words. You can'† write too much because you won't have time. Here is the second reading of the article.
(Voice-over, as italicised above, and still shots are repeated.)

Where they go on the race:


About the bike:
front person controls brakes, gears, steering (at least one of the three)
pedals joined by long chain
need to pedal together
cycle computer mentioned
cycle computer tells speed, distance, time (at least one of the three)

Note taking: no irrelevant information a little irrelevant information a lot of irrelevant information any other response

Total score: 13-17
11-12
9-10
7-8
5-6
0-4

## Commentary:

The year 8 students classified and recorded slightly more information, on average, in 2005 than in 2001. Eight percent more scored 11 or higher.

## Questions / instructions:

We're going to have a look at some of the information printed in this non-fiction book called City Mountains. As well as having information about making buildings in cities and giving the name of the author, the book also has other helpful information.

Show the book to the student, but don't allow them to handle it yet.


1. In the book it will have the date when it was published. Where in the book would you expect to find that date?
front, after title page front (other) any other response
This book has a table of contents, and an index.
2. Where in the book would you expect to find the table of contents? front, after title page front (other) any other response
3. Where in the book would you expect to find the index?
back
4. What is the difference between a Table of Contents and an Index? Order:
[Table of Contents in page number order (shows order of topics); Index has its entries in alphabetical order.] both differences mentioned only 1 difference mentioned no differences mentioned Content:
[Table of Contents identifies chapters and sometimes major sections; Index usually has lots more detail; more entries (eg. words, subsections, people referred to).]
both differences mentioned only 1 difference mentioned no differences mentioned
5. This book also has a bibliography. Can you tell me what a bibliography is?
other sources of similar information where information came from (like reference list)

\% response 2005 ( 01 ) | year 8 |
| :---: |
| $24(6)$ |
| $52(71)$ |
| $24(23)$ |

Published by Ashton Scholastic, 1995
Ashron Scholastic Led
Privace Bag 94407, Greenmount, Auckland, New Zealand.
Ashton Scholastic Pty Ltd
Ashton Scholastic Pry Ltd
PO Box S79, Gosford, NSW 2250, Australia.
Scholastic Inc
555 Broadway, New York, NY 10012-3999, USA.
Scholastic Canada Led
123 Newkirk Road, Rechmond Hill, Ontario L4C 3GS, Canada.
Scholastic Publications Ltd
7-9 Pratt Street, London, NW1 0AE, England.

| Texx $O$ Oohn Parker, 1995 |
| :--- |
|  | ustrations O Elspeth Alix Batt.

ISBN 1869431472

Now let's have a look inside the book.

Show the student the relevant pages when asking the following questions.
6. It shows that the book was published in 1995. Why can it be helpful to have the date when a book was published? know how old or recent information is to help to find/purchase same book/edition
 At the back of the book is a bibliography. Let's look at one of the entries in the bibliography.

Point to Duncan, Michael. How it is made - Skyscrapers, Faber \& Faber 1987.
Try to explain to me what each part of this reference refers to.

Bibliography
Drexier, Archur. Tranaforvactionst it Modernt Archicecture, Secker and Wartburg 1980.
Duncan, Michael, Hos it is mode - Siyscrapers, Faber \& Faber 1987. How It Works No. 3, Marshall Cavendish Letd 1974 MacGregor, Anne \& Scour \& Oish Ltd 1974. Sabagh, Karl. Styscreaport. Styscrapers, Pepper Press 1980. Sabaghak, Kasl. Shyscrajerrs - The Noaing of a Builiding, Viking Penguin 1989. Sandak, Cass R. Shyscrapers, Franklin Watts 1985. Shaw, Peter. New Zealand Archisecturr, Hodder \& Stoughton 1991. Shscrropers, Macdonald First Library, Macdonald Educational 1971.
7. What does

Duncan, Michael tell us?
8. What does How it is made Skyscrapers tell us?
9. What does

Faber \& Faber tell us?
10. What does

1987 tell us?
year of publication when it was made, written any other response
11. It can be helpful to have a bibliography.

When might someone want to use the information that is in a bibliography?
if they want to find out more
information about subject $\quad$ 49(48)

## Commentary:

The results do not show strong understanding of the reference features in non-fiction books. Only 44 percent of the year 8 students scored more than eight out of 20. There was little change between 2001 and 2005.

| Task: |  |  | Mele's Game |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach: | One to one |  | Year: | 4 \& 8 |
| Focus: | Scanning for information |  |  |  |
| Resources: | Newspaper article |  |  |  |

## Mele's Game A Big Hit in Otara

Mele is a happy 12 year old girl who lives in Otara. Otara is in South Auckland. Mele loves living there because she has lots of friends. She has lived there all of her life, so she knows most of the kids who live near her place.

Mele and most of her friends go to Otara School. They are very proud of their school because all of the children and teachers are very friendly, and they all like to learn new things. Mele says that her teacher, Mr Sewa, is one of the best teachers in Auckland.

Mele and her friends love playing games. They are always trying to think up new games, or different ways to play old games.

Mele has made up a new game which has made her famous in Otara. Everyone is now playing the game at Otara School, and they call it Mele's Game.
The game is played with a stick with a long piece of string tied to it. A ball is tied to the end of the string. Mele turns the stick round and round with the ball near the ground. The other kids have to try to jump the string. If it touches them, they are 'out'.

Mr Tupua, who is a friend of Mele's family, is making the ball sticks that are used for the game. Mr Tupua said, "Some kids just make them themselves, and other kids have asked if they can buy them. Well, the best thing is kids having fun playing outside with their friends, so I'm happy to make the ball sticks for nothing."
Just about every day you can see children at Otara School playing Mele's Game. The little kids play with the big kids, and the big kids play with the little kids. It's always like that at Otara School.
Sometimes Mele plays her game with her Mum and Dad. Her Mum is really good at it, but her


Dad keeps on falling over, which makes Mele burst her sides with laughter. Her dad is a big man, so when he falls over, there is quite a crash.
Some people say Mele's Game could be dangerous because people might get hurt when they fall over. Mele and her friends say that is rubbish. "We always play it on the grass," says Mele. "And we kids know how to fall over."

We asked Mele if she would be making up any new games. "Me and my friends are trying to work out a new game that we could sell to the world - and that would
make us really famous," said Mele. "Our school principal, Mrs Lualua said this could be a good technology project for us."
We asked Mrs Lualua what she thought was the best thing about Mele's game. "I think it's great that kids can learn to make their own fun. Mele's a really great kid. She enjoys her friends. She works hard at school, and she keeps herself really fit. We have a lot of children like that at Otara School."

Mele's stick and ball game is a winner.

Questions / instructions:






This activity uses the computer.
Click on the button that says Library Search. Enter username: NEMP with no password.

In this activity you will use a computer library catalogue to find some books.

1. Find a book about whales.
2. Find a book by Joy Cowley.
book on provided list
3. The author of Dirty Beasts has written lots of other great books. Find another book written by this author.
book on provided list
YEAR 8 ONLY:
4. The Dewey number tells you where to look for a book on the shelf.

Write down the Dewey number for the book called How Maui Slowed the Sun.

$$
398.2 \text { or } 398.2 \text { NZ }
$$

Total score:


## Commentary:

About three quarters of year 8 students showed good capabilities in searching the computer catalogue. Year 4 students were less assured.

| Task: |  | NEMP | Where in the Li | rary? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach: | Station | Access ${ }^{\text {Task }}$ | Year: | 4 \& 8 |
| Focus: | Identifying appropriate resources in a library |  |  |  |
| Resources: | Computer program on laptop computer |  |  |  |

## Questions / instructions:

This activity uses the computer.
Click on the button which says Where in the Library? The computer will tell you what to do.


COMPUTER INSTRUCTION:
You've just moved to a new school. Your teachers gives you a picture of the library. She asks you to point to the section on the library map where you could find some things.
Click on the section of the library where you would find:
[No soundtrack. Each library section highlights in colour when the mouse is rolled over it. Once the student has clicked on their choice of library section for the book description, the screen automatically calls up the next description, in sequence, as per adjacent.]


Click on the section of the library where you would find:
Information on New Zealand
spiders

Encyclopaedias, dictionaries and other books to refer to.

catalogue

picture books
$\square$


Books on how to care for a
rabbit.


Books in the Harry Potter series.

| Where you'd look up your |
| :--- |
| topic search terms to see if |
| the library had any books. |

Total score:

| 10 | 4 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8-9$ | 13 | 35 |
| $6-7$ | 17 | 16 |
| $4-5$ | 23 | 12 |
| $2-3$ | 32 | 8 |
| $0-1$ | 11 | 2 |

## Commentary:

Sixty-two percent of year 8 students, compared to 17 percent of year 4 students, correctly identified where to look in a school library for solutions to eight or more of the information tasks.

| Approach: | One to one |
| ---: | :--- |
| Focus: | Understanding and using an atlas |
| Resources: | Book: Oxford International Primary Atlas, recording book, 2 maps, 1 name card |

Questions / instructions:



## Commentary:

A high proportion of the year 4 students knew what an atlas was for and how to find a particular country using the index. Most were much less successful in identifying multiple distinguishing features of two different types of map.


1. Why might people find this book useful? good for finding out where places are

Have a few minutes to look through this atlas, then l'll ask you to find some things.

## Allow time.

The index in a book tells us where information can be found.
2. Where would you find the World Index in this atlas? Tell me how you found it.

Record if student found the World Index or not.
found World Index


If the student can't find the index, show them.

Hand student card - Guam.
3. How would you find a map of Guam in this atlas? Show me where it is and tell me how you found this map.
Record if student found Guam or not.
located map of Guam used index to find map used co-odinates to find Guam on map
\% responses
y8


Hand student card - Doughboy Bay.
Show student where the New Zealand Index is found in the atlas (p15).
4. Using the New Zealand Index look up Doughboy Bay. What map number and position does it give?
Record map number and position given.
map number found co-ordinates given correctly
5. Using the map number and position try to find Doughboy Bay in this atlas. When you have found it show me.
Record if the student found Doughboy Bay or not. found Doughboy Bay on the map Let's look at two maps about New Zealand.
Hand student the two maps of New Zealand. [same as for Year 4 version on adjacent page]
6. What is different about the information on these two maps?

## Record student's answer.

mentioned one map is taken from the satellite mentioned map uses colour to show height of mountains, rivers, lakes
mentioned one map has names of places on it mentioned one map has clouds and weather systems on it mentioned anything else relevant to maps

| Total score: | $11-12$ | 15 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | $9-10$ | 51 |
|  | $7-8$ | 23 |
|  | $5-6$ | 6 |
|  | $3-4$ | 4 |
|  | $0-2$ | 1 |

## Commentary:

The year 8 students were, on the whole, highly successful in using the atlas to find particular places. Compared to year 4 students (see Atlas Y4), they were substantially more successful in identifying multiple distinguishing features of two different types of map.

Task: New Zealand Native Trees
Approach: One to one


## Show photo of tree.

2. Here is a photograph that shows different parts of another tree. Use the poster to find the name of the tree, then show me where you found it.

Record the student's answer.


Record the student's answer.

## Puriri

Taraire
any other response


## Show and read information

 card 1.3. Use the poster to work out what tree the boy saw.
$\square$
$\%$ responses
y8

## Show student the poster.

Have a good look at this poster called New Zealand Native Trees. You are going to use this poster to answer some questions.

Point to the detail of the flowers for number 10.

1. Show me which tree you would see these leaves and flowers on.

Record the student's answer.
Manuka


## Commentary:

Year 8 students handled this task well except for question 3 which provided no visual clues and required careful reading of the description and scanning of the poster for matching information.

| Task: | NEIMP | Kiwi Study |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach: | One to one Access | Year: | 8 |
| Focus: | Searching the internet |  |  |
| Resources: | 5 printed web pages (A-E), recording book, instruction card |  |  |

## Questions / instructions:

Imagine you are doing a study on the kiwi. Hand out and read instruction card to student.

You have front pages from five internet sites.
Give student front pages from internet sites.
Look at the front page of each site.

1. Which two sites do you think would be most useful?
Record the sites that the student chooses.
First choice

2. Why have you chosen these sites?
$3+$ criteria mentioned
2 criteria mentioned
1 criterion mentioned
any other response

## Remove all cards apart from the two cards chosen by the student.

Look at the front pages of the two sites
you have chosen.
3. What would you click on next to find the information you need?
4. If you wanted to find some books to help you with your study on kiwis, which search terms would you type into the library computer?
Try to think of three.
Record student's answer.
$\left.\begin{array}{rrr}\text { Search term 1: } \begin{array}{rl}\text { appropriate - specific }\end{array} & 69 \\ \text { (kiwi, endangered, conservation ...) }\end{array}\right)$

## Commentary:

Most students selected two websites that would give useful information for the task given and identified one or more appropriate search terms. Fewer justified their choice of two sites well.


Here is a book on New Zealand birds. Have a good look at the way the information in this book has been set out to help you find different birds.
Flip through the book and hand it to the student.

1. Use the book to show me where you can find information on mountain birds.

Record if student found the mountain birds section.
found the mountain bird section
Turn to page 89 in book. Hand student picture of an egg.

Here is a picture of a bird's egg.
2. Use the egg chart to find the bird it belongs to. Then show me a picture of that bird in the book.
Record student's choice.
egg identified as Tui
used the index

The White Heron, Kōtuku is a special New Zealand bird.

Hand student White Heron / Kōtuku card.
3. Use the book to show me where you can find information on the White Heron, Kōtuku.

Record if student found the White Heron/ Kōtuku section.
located correct section
Show student page 81 if not found. Point to map in top right hand corner.
4. Why have they included a New Zealand map here?
showed where bird is found
5. Tell me how the book has been organised to make it helpful for finding information.

Mentioned: section on types of birds (page 3)
colour coding of sections
"key" passages at the start of most sections (e.g. pp6-7, 34-35)

|  | index |
| :---: | ---: |
| Total score: | $9-10$ |
|  | $7-8$ |
|  | $5-6$ |
|  | $3-4$ |
|  | $0-2$ |

## Commentary:

About 40 percent of the year 8 students showed considerable skill in using and understanding reference features of this non-fiction book. Another 47 percent performed quite well but did not identify or use a key feature.

## Questions / instructions:

This activity uses the computer. Click on the button which says Splash Planet. The computer will tell you what to do.


Imagine your family is planning a trip to Hastings, New Zealand, and would like to visit Splash Planet.
Search the internet for information to answer these questions.

1. Take a Virtual Tour. What ride will you find at number 7 ?
2. How much does it cost for a family of 2 adults and 2 children to go to Splash Planet?
$\$ 85.00$


Total score:

## Commentary:

More than half of the year 8 students showed good proficiency in searching for particular information on internet sites.



## LINK TASK: 12

Approach: Station
Year: 8
Focus: Finding information in a text
Total score:


LINK TASK: 14
Approach: Station
Year: 8
Focus: Finding information in a dictionary
Total score:



LINK TASK: 7
Approach: One to one
Year: 4 \& 8
Focus: Identifying appropriate information sources

| $15-18$ | 1 | 4 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12-14$ | 2 | 18 |
| $9-11$ | 16 | 36 |
| $6-8$ | 29 | 29 |
| $3-5$ | 37 | 11 |
| $0-2$ | 15 | 2 |

## LINK TASK: 9

Approach: Station
Year: 4 \& 8
Focus: Finding information on a website
Total score:

| 7 | 8 | 44 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 22 | 26 |
| 5 | 19 | 13 |
| 4 | 19 | 8 |
| 3 | 14 | 5 |
| $0-2$ | 18 | 4 |

44
26
13
8
5
4
LINK TASK: 11
Approach: One to one
Year: 8
Focus: Reference features in books
Total score:


LINK TASK: 13
Approach: Station
Year: 8
Focus: Finding information on a website
Total score:


LINK TASK: 15

## Approach: Station

Year: 8
Focus: Finding information on a website
Total score:


## Analysing and Using Information

The assessments included 16 tasks that explored how well the students could analyse and use information. The skills assessed included interpreting individual pieces of information, analysing and collating information from more than one source, understanding and describing the structure of a collection of information, and reporting findings.

Ten tasks were identical for both year 4 and year 8 students, one was very similar for year 4 and year 8 students but simplified for year 4 students, and five were attempted only by year 8 students. Four are trend tasks (fully described with data for both 2001 and 2005), five are released tasks (fully described with data for 2005 only) and seven are link tasks (to be used again in 2009, so only partially described here).

The tasks are presented in the following order:

- trend tasks attempted by both year 4 and year 8 students
- trend tasks attempted by only year 8 students
- released tasks attempted by both year 4 and year 8 students
- a released task attempted by only year 8 students
- link tasks attempted by both year 4 and year 8 students
- link tasks attempted by only year 8 students.

Year 8 students enjoyed substantially more success than year 4 students. Averaged across 84 components of 11 tasks attempted by both years, 17 percent more year 8 than year 4 students succeeded well with these components. Year 8 students scored higher on 77 of the 84 components.

Averaged across seven components of two trend tasks attempted by year 4 students in both 2001 and 2005, one percent fewer students succeeded in 2005 than in 2001. This is a negligible decrease, based on a small sample of tasks and components. At year 8 level, with 25 components of four tasks included, on average two percent fewer students succeeded in 2005. This decrease is also too small to be regarded as meaningful.


## Trend Task: MH|K



Station

## Questions / instructions:

You are going to make a diagram about milk.

1. Place the word stickers where you think they should go on the diagram.
2. Stick the words onto the diagram when you have decided where they should go.
[Instruction card same as text above]


| Top group: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% response } \\ & 2005 \text { ('01) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Bottom right group: | \% response 2005 (001) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | year 4 | year 8 |  | year 4 | year 8 |
| contained "farms" and "cows" in that order | 61 (57) | 83 (85) | group labelled (either "flavours" or "packaging") in correct position, all specific labels below | 39 (35) | 79 (81) |
| contained "farms" and "cows" but not in that order | 12 (12) | 12 (12) | group labelled in correct position, two of specific labels below | 2 (4) | 1 (1) |
| contained one appropriate sticker and leaves a box blank | 1 (4) | 0 (0) | other | 59 (61) | 20 (18) |
| any other response | 26 (27) | 5 (3) |  |  |  |
| Bottom left group: |  |  | Total score: 7 | 25 (25) | 64 (69) |
| group labelled (either "flavours" or "packaging") |  |  | 5-6 | 19 (14) | 17 (16) |
| in correct position, all specific labels below | 41 (36) | 77 (82) | 3-4 | 24 (24) | 12 (10) |
| group labelled in correct position, two of specific labels below | 2 (4) | 2 (2) | 1-2 | 12 (13) | 4 (2) |
| other | 57 (60) | 21 (16) | 0 | 20 (24) | 3 (3) |

## Commentary:

Sixty-four percent of year 8 students, compared to 25 percent of year 4 students, labelled the entire flow chart correctly. There was little change, at both year levels, between 2001 and 2005.

Station
Year:
4 \& 8
a dictionary
Year 4 only: Word list; Year 8 only: Dictionary: He Pa Auroa

## Questions / instructions:

YEAR 4 ONLY: Use the word list to help you decide the best place name for each picture.

YEAR 8 ONLY:
Use the dictionary to help you


## Commentary:

Sixty-six percent of year 8 students, compared to 41 percent of year 4 students, matched all the words with pictures correctly. Year 4 students had a simplified dictionary, so the results are not strictly comparable. At both year levels, there was a small decline in performance between 2001 and 2005.

| Approach: | Station | Year: | 8 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Focus: | Choosing arguments for a purpose |  |  |
| Resources: | Computer program on laptop computer |  |  |

Questions / instructions:

1. Playstation $\mathbf{2}$ is great for the latest games and DVD movies. The pietures and actions are awesome.
2. Playstation $\mathbf{2}$ makes 75 million polygons every second, compared with the older Playstation's $\mathbf{3 0 0 , 0 0 0}$.
3. Playstation 2 plays games extremely well, but that's only part of it. It also plays CDs and DVDs. It has brilliantly clear sound and ...
4. You can plug in video recorders, cameras and even get Internet using the Playstation 2.
5. It costs heaps to design the Playstation 2. Sony needs to sell 100 million of them to make up for this cost.
6. No sweat, say some people. They just love the action, games, pietures and sounds from their Playstation 2. A
7. You will be able to use the Playstation 2 to play games over the Internet. You will also be able to
 use them to enjoy movies.

Click on the paragraphs that would be best
Student read all paragraphs.


Student clicked on paragraphs that would contribute to a persuasive argument and those paragraphs were highlighted. Student was given the opportunity to change their choices.


Once the student's choices were confirmed all unselected paragraphs disappeared from screen.

This activity uses the computer.
Click on the button that says Hot Chips.
The computer will tell you what to do.
I really want a PlayStation 2. My mum loves movies and music, and she uses the internet a lot. But she does not like games.

## Read Hot Chips.

Some paragraphs might help Mum to think it would be good to get a PlayStation 2. Click on the paragraphs that would be good.

1. Use the information you have chosen to write one sentence that might help mum want to get a PlayStation 2.
wrote just one sentence

Use of material provided:
combined arguments/material from two or more of the paragraphs combined arguments/material from two or more of the paragraphs but included material not from chosen paragraphs no or maybe
wrote persuasive argument

Argument to persuade Mum:

| very appropriate | 30 (38) |
| :---: | :---: |
| moderately appropriate | 62 (52) |
| not appropriate | 8 (10) |
| used own words/paraphrases | 80 (82) |
| Total score: 7 | 16 (17) |
| 6 | 32 (37) |
| 5 | 22 (17) |
| 4 | 11 (5) |
| 3 | 9 (11) |
| 0-2 | 10 (13) |

## Commentary:

Paragraphs 3, 4 and 7 were the most frequently chosen ( 60 percent or more of the students), with paragraph 1 not far behind. Paragraphs 2,5 and 6 were rarely chosen (less than 10 percent of the students). About half of the students followed the instructions well and wrote quite a strong argument. There was little change between 2001 and 2005.


## Questions / instructions:

In this activity you are going to work together to list the things you would do to get a hot air balloon ready to fly.
To start you will be working in pairs. Each pair will have a copy of the first couple of pages of the book called Hot Air.
You can have a few minutes to read the pages together. When you are reading, decide what things the people are doing to get the hot air balloon ready to fly. As you decide each thing, mark it with the highlighter. After that we will talk about the things you have highlighted.


Now your team is going to make a chart which shows the things you would do to get a hot air ballon ready to fly. You will write each thing on a separate sticker. Cut out the stickers then put them in order. After that, you will stick them down on this sheet to make a chart. You don't have to use all of the boxes. Work together, so everyone is helping.
This instruction card will remind you what you have to do.

## Show and read instruction card.

Give stickers, scissors, pencils and answer sheet.
Allow sufficient time.
To finish off, I want you to read your chart to me.


Flow chart included:
drag balloon out into safe take-off area (open) check weather/wind suitable connect poles to basket attach burner and fuel hoses light burner (to test pressure) connect balloon wires to basket tie balloon to towbar of car (so it won't take off) pump cold air into balloon (inflate) turn on burner
(to heat air and lift balloon) hold onto basket (so it won'st lift off) Order of 'things':
all 'things' in order 1 or 2 things out of order any other response

Total score:
12
11
10
9
$7-8$
$0-6$
0-6


## Commentary:

Year 8 teams enjoyed a high level of success with this task, with 60 percent getting all or almost all key steps recorded and in an appropriate order. There was little change overall between 2001 and 2005.

Task: Breakdancing

## Approach: Station <br> Focus:

 NEMPClassification and organisation of ideas
Resources:
10 stickers

## Questions / instructions:

A class is doing a project about breakdancing. They have made lots of notes but they are in a big muddle. You are going to make a chart to help sort out the notes.

1. Read the notes about breakdancing.
2. Place the notes where you think they should go on the chart.
3. Stick the notes onto the chart when you have decided where they should go.

| Breakdancing |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Definition <br> (what is it?) | Music | History | Moves | Safety |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Commentary:

Eighteen percent of year 4 students and 55 percent of year 8 students classified all ten notes correctly.

| Task: | NEMP | Class Pet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach: | Station Access | Year: | 4 \& 8 |
| Focus: | Analysing information to make a decision |  |  |
| Resources: | 5 information cards |  |  |

Imagine your class is going to choose a pet.
 Grass Fruit
Cage: Bird cage


Cost: \$20


Cats find it hard moving to a new house. When a family moves a cat will often return to the old home.

\% responses

Choose a pet that:

- Does not cost more than \$40
- Does not make it hard for children to do their work
- Can stay at school during the weekend
- Is easy for a child to take home and look after during the holidays
Use the information on the five cards to work out which pet will be the best for your class.

1. Which animal will be best as your class pet?

| guinea pig | 27 | 20 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{V}$ mouse | 47 | 70 |
| cockatoo | 6 | 2 |
| turtle | 3 | 1 |
| cat | 16 | 5 |
| any other response | 1 | 2 |

2. Why is this the best animal to choose as a class pet?

| mentioned cost | 41 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mentioned distraction issue | 43 | 51 |
| mentioned that the animal can stay at school during weekend | 8 | 17 |
| mentioned that animal can be cared for during the holidays | 9 | 18 |
| mentioned other relevant point (e.g. safety) | 38 | 54 |
| Total score: 5-6 | 3 | 7 |
| 4 | 4 | 23 |
| 3 | 22 | 33 |
| 2 | 29 | 16 |
| 1 | 29 | 14 |
| 0 | 13 | 7 |

## Commentary:

Seventy-one percent of year 4 students and 37 percent of year 8 students scored two or less, indicating quite limited proficiency in using information and criteria to make an appropriate decision and justify that decision using the criteria.

## Questions / instructions:



Imagine - your friend's dog is about to have puppies. They said you can have one for nothing. But $\qquad$
You live in a house with a small section.
Your house is in a busy street with lots of traffic.

Dogs are good burglar alarms.
Your mum takes great care of her flower garden.

There are some holes in your fence.
Dogs are good friends.
Your mum hasn't got a lot of spare money.

You have a paper run.
You play lots of sports after school.
Dogs can be easy to train.

1. Use the PROs and CONs chart to work out all of the reasons FOR and AGAINST getting a puppy.


2. What will you say to get Mum to agree to have a puppy?

Argument for a dog: good coherent argument $10 \quad 31$ reasonable argument vague or no argument

Used pros and cons in argument :
(reasons need not be from Question 1)

|  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3+$ given but not integrated well | 2 | 12 |
| 2 given | 4 | 11 |
| 3 given | 16 | 18 |
| 3one used | 75 | 53 |


| Total score: | $12-16$ | 5 | 28 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $9-11$ | 18 | 29 |
|  | $6-8$ | 18 | 15 |
|  | $3-5$ | 21 | 9 |
| $0-2$ | 38 | 19 |  |



## Questions / instructions:

Imagine your cat has gone missing.
You are really upset.
Your Mum says you can put an ad in the paper. Mum will have to pay for each word in the ad, so you can only use up to $\mathbf{2 0}$ words.

1. Use the highlighter to mark what you think needs to be in the ad.

Hissy is pretty
My sister got Hissy for her birthday
She is black with white paws
She has a really loud purr
She pricks up her ears when you say "Hissy"
She loves fish and chicken
She sleeps on my bed
I think she is nicer than our neighbour's cat
Hissy has a bell on her collar to warn the birds
Hissy isn't afraid of dogs
I've never seen her eat a mouse
Not all cats are friendly
2. Write an ad for the paper. You can use up
to 20 words.
[Only considered what was written in the first 20 words]
Describes Hissy:
(black with white paws; pricks up ears
when name called; bell on collar)


## Commentary:

Year 8 students were more strategic than year 4 students in identifying the most useful information for an advertisement for a lost cat. Few students at either year level wrote a very appropriate ad within the listed constraint of 20 words.

Organising and summarising information

## Questions / instructions:

Here is some information about Kiri Te Kanawa from a book called, Alan Duff's Māori Heroes.

Read the information about Kiri Te Kanawa.

Dame Kiri Te Kanawa
Dame Kiri Te Kanawa is one of the most recognisable and successful New Zealanders ever. She is without question our superstar. In fact, she's a world superstar - with her beautiful rich soprano voice.

Millions of people all around the world have heard Kiri Te Kanawa singing- in fact one billion people watched her on television as she sang at Gisborne to mark the new millennium.


This was not the first time that Kiri performed for a worldwide television audience. In 1981 she sang at the royal wedding of Prince Charles and Diana, when 500 million people watched her.
Kiri was born in Gisborne in 1944. She was adopted by a Māori father and a Pākehā mother. In 1959 Kiri's family moved to Auckland so that she could go to St Mary's Convent School. Here Kiri got singing lessons from Sister Mary Leo.
In 1965 Kiri won New Zealand's major singing competition - the Mobil Song Quest. The same year, she moved to London so that she could keep on studying music. Since then Kiri has had a very successful singing career. In 1982 she became Dame Kiri Te Kanawa. Although Kiri sang her last opera in 2002, she still sings in other concerts.
QUICK FACTS
-Born: 1944

- Grew up: Gisborne, Blockhouse Bay
- Schools: Studied with Sister Mary Leo, St Mary's Convent, Ponsonby
- Family: Married Desmond Park in 1967, with whom she adopted two children - Antonia and Thomas. The couple have since separated
- Claim to fame: Internationally successful opera singer; recipient of many awards including an OBE; DBE; Order of New Zealand

The information you have just read is a bit muddled up. Make short notes about Kiri's life so that things are listed in the order they happened.


## Commentary:

 classified correctly a substantial number of relevant points and recorded them appropriately.
## Notes about early life include:

Relevant features:
(born in Gisborne; born in 1944; adopted; parents; grew up in Gisborne and Blockhouse Bay, Auckland)

4 or more relevant points 2-3 relevant points

1 relevant point any other response

## Notes about education include:

Relevant features:
(attended St Mary's Convent School; singing lessons from Sister Mary Leo; studied music in London from 1965)

3 relevant points
2 relevant points
1 relevant point
any other response

Notes about career include:
Relevant features:
(watched by 1 billion people in 2000; sang at wedding of Prince Charles and Lady Diana Spencer; won Mobil Song Quest; became Dame Kiri Te Kanawa; sang last opera in 2002; has rich soprano voice; is a superstar; has successful singing career as an opera singer)

6 or more relevant points
4-5 relevant points
2-3 relevant points
1 relevant point
any other response

## Overall note taking style

succinct paraphrased notes mixture of paraphrasing and copying sections of texts copied any other response Total score: 10-12

$$
6-7
$$

$$
4-5
$$

$$
0-3
$$

This task was distinctly unpopular with the year 8 students. Forty-eight percent scored 8 or more, indicating that they captured and


LINK TASK: 17

| Approach: | Station |
| ---: | :--- |
| Year: | $4 \& 8$ |

Focus: Summarising instructions


LINK TASK: 19
Approach: Team
Year: 4 \& 8
Focus: Summarising and comparing information

## Total score:



LINK TASK: 21
Approach: Station
Year: 8
Focus: Summarising and evaluating information
Total score:


The information skills survey asked students about their strategies for, involvement in, and enjoyment of information-gathering activities. The questions were the same for year 4 and year 8 students. The survey was administered to the students in an independent-tasks session (four students working individually on tasks, supported by a teacher). The questions were read to year 4 students and also to individual year 8 students who requested this help.

The survey included eight questions which invited students to record a rating response by circling their choice and two questions which invited students to tick up to three options from a list (including an "other" option where students could describe an additional response).
One item asked students to indicate where they usually go when trying to find information. They could tick up to three options. Their responses are shown here, in order of popularity for year 4 students, with 2001 percentages for comparison.



For both year 4 and year 8 students, the internet was the most popular source by a substantial margin. This represented a significant increase in popularity over the past four years. Next most popular were the library (probably the school library, given that the town library was listed separately) and parents.

Another item asked students to indicate what they do when they can't find information they need. They could tick up to three options. Their responses are shown here, in order of popularity for year 4 students, with 2001 and 1997 percentages for comparison.


WHEN STUDENTS CAN'T FIND INFORMATION

Strategy:


Compared to year 4 students, year 8 students placed less emphasis on keeping looking themselves, and more emphasis on asking their teacher. There has been little change in the responses over the eight years since the first survey in 1997.
The remaining eight items used a rating format. The percentages of students choosing each response to these five questions are shown in the two tables opposite. Where available, 2001 and 1997 percentages are shown for comparative purposes.

## YEAR 4 INFORMATION SKILLS SURVEY 2005 (2001) [1997]

heaps quite a lot sometimes never

1. How often do you have to find information for a study (research topic/project)?
14 (13) [13]
31 (32) [33]
50 (52) [53]
5 (3) [1]
2. How often do you have a really interesting study for which you have to find information?
15 (12) [14]
29 (31) [27]
47 (51) [51]
9 (6) [8]
3. How often do you look for information because you want to, not because you've been told to?
17 (17) [15]
20 (22) [23]
43 (45) [45]
20 (16) [17]
4. How often have you used a library catalogue?

5. How much do you like hunting for information?

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
39(42)[38] & 37(34)[38] & 15(15)[14] & 9(9)[10]
\end{array}
$$

6. How good do you think you are at hunting for information?
32 (33) 42 (43) (17)
7. How much do you like sharing with others the information you find?
8. How much do you like writing down what you find out?
42 (43) [41]
28 (25) [32]
15 (19) [14]
15 (13) [13]

## YEAR 8 INFORMATION SKILLS SURVEY 2005 (2001) [1997]



A substantially greater proportion of year 8 than year 4 students reported that they had to find information for a project or topic heaps or quite a lot (question 1). Perhaps as a consequence of being given such tasks more frequently, year 8 students were much less inclined than year 4 students to be enthusiastic about hunting for information (question 5) and about writing down the information
they found (question 8). While year 4 students responded similarly to questions 1 and 2 , the pattern was quite different for year 8 students, suggesting that many of the information-finding projects which year 8 students were asked to attempt were not viewed as "really interesting". About 75 percent of students are quite happy to share with others the information they have
found (question 7). Where comparisons with 2001 and 1997 responses are possible, the results in 2005 are very similar to the results of the earlier surveys, so the same conclusions apply.


## Performance of Subgroups

Although national monitoring has been designed primarily to present an overall national picture of student achievement, there is some provision for reporting on performance differences among subgroups of the sample. Eight demographic variables are available for creating subgroups, with students divided into subgroups on each variable, as detailed in Chapter 1 (p5).

Analyses of the relative performance of subgroups used the total score for each task, created as described in Chapter 1 (p5).


## SCHOOL VARIABLES

Five of the demographic variables related to the schools the students attended. For these five variables, statistical significance testing was used to explore differences in task performance among the subgroups. Where only two subgroups were compared (for School Type), differences in task performance between the two subgroups were checked for statistical significance using t-tests. Where three subgroups were compared, one-way analysis of variance was used to check for statistically significant differences among the three subgroups.

Because the number of students included in each analysis was quite
large (approximately 450), the statistical tests were quite sensitive to small differences. To reduce the likelihood of attention being drawn to unimportant differences, the critical level for statistical significance for tasks reporting results for individual students was set at $\mathrm{p}=.01$ (so that differences this large or larger among the subgroups would not be expected by chance in more than one percent of cases). For tasks administered to teams or groups of students, $p=.05$ was used as the critical level to compensate for the smaller numbers of cases in the subgroups.

For the first four of the five school variables, statistically significant
differences among the subgroups were found for less than 11 percent of the tasks for both year 4 and year 8. For the remaining variable, statistically significant differences were found on more than half of the tasks at both levels. In the detailed report below, all "differences" mentioned are statistically significant (to save space, the words "statistically significant" are omitted).

## School Size

Results were compared from students in large, medium-sized and small schools (exact definitions were given in Chapter 1, p8).

For year 4 students, there was a difference among the three subgroups
on one of the 30 tasks, with students from small schools scoring lowest on Link Task 19 (p45). There were no differences on questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47).

For year 8 students, there were no differences on any of the 46 tasks, or on questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47).

## Community Size

Results were compared for students living in communities containing over 100,000 people (main centre), communities containing 10,000 to 100,000 people (provincial city) and communities containing less than 10,000 people (rural areas).

For year 4 students, there were differences among the three subgroups on two of the 30 tasks. Students from the main centres scored highest on Breakdancing (p40) and lowest on Library Search (p26). There were no differences on questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47).

For year 8 students, there were no differences on any of the 46 tasks, or on questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47).

## School Type

Results were compared for year 8 students attending full primary and intermediate (or middle) schools. There were no differences between these two subgroups on any of the 46 tasks, or on questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47).
This year, for the first time, the NEMP samples included enough year 8 students attending year 7 to 13 high schools to permit comparisons between them and students attending intermediate schools. There were statistically significant differences ( $p<.01$ ) on three of the 40 tasks attempted by individual students. Students from year 7 to 13 high schools scored higher on Hens (p17), Atlas Y8 (p29) and Please, Mum! (p42). There were no differences on questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47).

## Zone

Results achieved by students from Auckland, the rest of the North Island, and the South Island were compared.

For year 4 students, there were differences among the three sub-

groups on one of the 30 tasks. Students from the rest of the North Island (excluding Auckland) scored highest on Library Search (p26). There were no differences on questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47).

For year 8 students, there were differences among the three subgroups on five of the 46 tasks: students from the South Island scored highest on Link Tasks 1, 2 and 3 (p18), City Mountains (p24), and Please, Mum! (p42). There were no differences on questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47).

## Socio-Economic Index

Schools are categorised by the Ministry of Education based on census data for the census mesh blocks where children attending the schools live. The resulting index takes into account household income levels and categories of employment. It uses 10 subdivisions, each containing 10 percent of schools (deciles 1 to 10). For our purposes, the bottom three deciles (1-3) formed the low decile group, the middle four deciles (4-7) formed the medium decile group, and the top
three deciles (8-10) formed the high decile group. Results were compared for students attending schools in each of these three decile groups.

For year 4 students, there were differences among the three subgroups on 17 of the 30 tasks, spread across the three task chapters. Because of the number of tasks showing differences, they are not listed here. Students in high decile schools performed better than students in low decile schools on all 17 tasks, usually with larger gaps between low and medium decile schools than between medium and high decile schools. There was also a difference on one question of the Information Skills Survey (p47): students from low decile schools were most positive about hunting for information (question 5)

For year 8 students, there were differences among the three subgroups on 25 of the 46 tasks, spread across the three task chapters but including 16 of the 21 year 8 tasks in Chapter 4. Because of the number of tasks showing differences, they are not listed here. Students in high decile schools performed better than students in low decile schools on all 25 tasks, usually with larger gaps between low and medium decile schools than between medium and high decile schools. There was also a difference on one question of the Information Skills Survey (p47): students from low decile schools were most positive about writing down what they found out (question 8).

## STUDENT VARIABLES

Three demographic variables related to the students themselves:

- Gender: boys and girls
- Ethnicity: Māori, Pasifika and Pakeha (this term was used for all other students)
- Language used predominantly at home: English and other.

During the previous cycle of the Project (1999-2002), special supplementary samples of students from schools with at least 15 percent Pasifika students enrolled were included. These allowed the results of Pasifika students to be compared with those of Māori and Pakeha students attending these schools. By 2002, with Pasifika enrolments
having increased nationally, it was decided that from 2003 onwards a better approach would be to compare the results of Pasifika students in the main NEMP samples with the corresponding results for Māori and Pakeha students. This gives a nationally representative picture, with the results more stable because the numbers of Māori and Pakeha students in the main samples are much larger than their numbers previously in the special samples.
The analyses reported compare the performances of boys and girls, Pakeha and Māori students, Pakeha and Pasifika students, and students from predominantly English-speaking and non-English-speaking homes.

For each of these three comparisons, differences in task performance between the two subgroups are described using "effect sizes" and statistical significance.

For each task and each year level, the analyses began with a t-test comparing the performance of the two selected subgroups and checking for statistical significance of the differences. Then the mean score obtained by students in one subgroup was subtracted from the mean score obtained by students in the other subgroup, and the difference in means was divided by the pooled standard deviation of the scores obtained by the two groups of students. This computed effect size describes the magnitude of the difference between the two subgroups in a way that indicates the strength of the difference and is not affected by the sample size. An effect size of +.30 , for instance, indicates that students in the first subgroup scored, on average, three tenths of a standard deviation higher than students in the second subgroup.

For each pair of subgroups at each year level, the effect sizes of all available tasks were averaged to produce a mean-effect size for the curriculum area and year level, giving an overall indication of the typical performance difference between the two subgroups.

## Gender

Results achieved by male and female students were compared using the effect-size procedures.

For year 4 students, the mean-effect size across the 24 tasks was 0.14 (girls averaged 0.14 standard deviations higher than boys). This difference is small. There were statistically significant ( $p<.01$ ) differences favouring girls on 6 of the 24 tasks: Hens (p17), Link Task 3 (p18), Bats (p21), Link Task 8 (p34), Oh Pussy Cat, Pussy Cat! (p43) and Link Task 16 (p45). There was also a difference on one question of the Information Skills Survey (p47): girls were more positive than boys about writing down what they found out (question 8).

For year 8 students, the mean-effect size across the 40 tasks was 0.27 (girls averaged 0.27 standard deviations higher than boys): a moderate
difference. There were statistically significant differences on 24 of the 40 tasks, with girls performing better on all 24 tasks, spread across the three task chapters. Because of the number of tasks showing differences, they are not listed here. There were also differences on five of the eight questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47). Girls reported that they more often had a really interesting study for which they had to find information (question 2) and more often voluntarily looked up information (question 3). Girls also were more positive about hunting for information (question 5), about how good they thought they were at hunting for information (question 6), and about how much they liked writing down what they found out (question 8).

## Ethnicity

Results achieved by Māori, Pasifika and Pakeha (all other) students were compared using the effect-size procedures. First, the results for Pakeha students were compared to those for Māori students. Second, the results for Pakeha students were compared to those for Pasifika students.

## Pakeha-Māori Comparisons

For year 4 students, the mean-effect size across the 24 tasks was 0.36 (Pakeha students averaged 0.36 standard deviations higher than Māori students). This is a moderate difference. There were statistically significant differences ( $p<.01$ ) on 17 of the 24 tasks, spread across the three task chapters. Pakeha students
scored higher than Māori students on all 17 tasks. Because of the number of tasks showing differences, they are not listed here. There were no differences on questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47).

For year 8 students, the picture was similar. The mean-effect size across the 40 tasks was 0.27 (Pakeha students averaged 0.27 standard deviations higher than Māori students). This is a moderate difference. There were statistically significant differences on 18 of the 40 tasks, spread across the three task chapters. Pakeha students scored higher than Māori students on all 18 tasks. Because of the number of tasks showing differences, they are not listed here. There were no differences on questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47).

## Pakeha-Pasifika Comparisons

Readers should note that only 30 to 45 Pasifika students were included in the analysis for each task. This is lower than normally preferred for NEMP subgroup analyses, but has been judged adequate for giving a useful indication, through the overall pattern of results, of the Pasifika students' performance. Because of the relatively small numbers of Pasifika students, $p=.05$ has been used here as the critical level for statistical significance.

For year 4 students, the mean-effect size across the 24 tasks was 0.37 (Pakeha students averaged 0.37 standard deviations higher than Pasifika students). This is a moderate

difference. There were statistically significant differences on 14 of the 24 tasks, spread across the three task chapters. Pakeha students scored higher on all 14 tasks. Because of the number of tasks showing differences, they are not listed here. There was also a difference on one question of the Information Skills Survey (p47): Pasifika students reported having to find information for a study more frequently (question 1).

For year 8 students, the mean-effect size across the 40 tasks was 0.46 (Pakeha students averaged 0.46 standard deviations higher than Pasifika students). This is a large difference. There were statistically significant differences on 29 of the 40 tasks, spread across the three task chapters, but including all tasks in Chapter 3. Pakeha students scored higher on all 29 tasks. Because of the number of tasks showing differences, they are not listed here. There were also differences on four questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47). Pasifika students reported having to find information for a study more frequently (question 1) and more often voluntarily looking up information (question 3). They also were more positive about hunting for information (question 5), and about how much they liked writing down what they found out (question 8).

## Home Language

Results achieved by students who reported that English was the predominant language spoken at home were compared, using the effect-size procedures, with the results of students who reported predominant use of another language at home (most commonly an Asian or Pasifika language). Because of the relatively small numbers in the "other language" group, $p=.05$ has been used here as the critical level for statistical significance.

For year 4 students, the mean-effect size across the 24 tasks was 0.16 (students for whom English was the predominant language at home averaged 0.16 standard deviations higher than the other students). This is a small difference. There were statistically significant differences on three of the 24 tasks: students for whom English was the predominant language spoken at home scored higher on Link

Task 1 (p18), Atlas Y4 (p28) and Oh Pussy Cat, Pussy Cat! (p43). There was also a difference on one question of the Information Skills Survey (p47). Students whose predominant language at home was not English reported that they more often had a really interesting study for which they had to find information (question 2).

For year 8 students, the mean-effect size across the 40 tasks was 0.18 (students for whom English was the predominant language at home averaged 0.18 standard deviations higher than the other students). This is a small difference. There were statistically significant differences on 16 of the 40 tasks: students for whom English was the predominant language spoken at home scored lower on Link Task 14 (p34), but higher on the other 15 tasks, spread across the three task chapters. Because of the number of tasks showing differences,

they are not listed here. There were also differences on four questions of the Information Skills Survey (p47). Students whose predominant language at home was not English reported that they more often voluntarily looked up information (question 3). They also were more positive about hunting for information (question 5), about how good they thought they were at hunting for information (question 6), and about how much they liked writing down what they found out (question 8)

## Summary, with Comparisons to Previous Information Skills Assessments

School type (full primary, intermediate, or year 7 to 13 high school), school size, community size and geographic zone did not seem to be important factors predicting achievement on the information skills tasks. The same was true for the 2001 and 1997 assessments. However, there were statistically significant differences in the performance of students from low, medium and high decile schools on 57 percent of the tasks at year 4 level (compared to 43 percent in 2001 and 81 percent in 1997) and 54 percent of the tasks at year 8 level (compared to 71 percent in 2001 and 56 percent in 1997).

For the comparisons of boys with girls, Pakeha with Māori, Pakeha with Pasifika students, and students for whom the predominant language at home was English with those for whom it was not, effect sizes were used. Effect size is the difference in mean (average) performance of the two groups, divided by the pooled standard deviation of the scores on the particular task. For this summary, these effect sizes were averaged across all tasks.

Year 4 girls averaged slightly higher than boys, with a mean effect size of 0.14 (compared to 0.06 in 2001). Year 8 girls averaged moderately higher than boys, with a mean effect size of 0.27 (compared to 0.15 in 2001). As was also true in 2001, the Information Skills Survey (p47) results at both year levels showed some evidence that girls were more positive than boys about information skills activities.

Pakeha students averaged moderately higher than Māori students, with mean effect sizes of 0.36 for year 4 students and 0.27 for year 8 students (the corresponding figures in 2001 were 0.25 and 0.39).

Year 4 Pakeha students averaged moderately higher than Pasifika students, with a mean effect size of 0.37 (compared to 0.40 in 2001). Year 8 Pakeha students averaged substantially higher than Pasifika students, with a mean effect size of 0.48 (compared to 0.46 in 2001). The Information Skills Survey (p47) results showed that Pasifika students were more involved in and enthusiastic about some aspects of information skills.

Compared to students for whom the predominant language at home was English, students from homes where other languages predominated averaged slightly lower, with mean effect sizes of 0.16 for year 4 students and 0.18 for year 8 students. Comparative figures are not available for the assessments in 2001.


Main Samples, Assessed in English In 2005, 2879 children from 248 schools were in the main samples to participate in national monitoring. Half were in year 4, the other half in year 8. At each level, 120 schools were selected randomly from national lists of state, integrated and private schools teaching at that level, with their probability of selection proportional to the number of students enrolled in the level. The process used ensured that each region was fairly represented. Schools with fewer than four students enrolled at the given level were excluded from these main samples, as were special schools and Māori immersion schools (such as Kura Kaupapa Māori).

In May 2005, the Ministry of Education provided computer files containing lists of eligible schools with year 4 and year 8 students, organised by region and district, including year 4 and year 8 roll numbers drawn from school statistical returns based on enrolments at 1 March 2005.

From these lists, we randomly selected 120 schools with year 4 students and 120 schools with year 8 students.


Schools with four students in year 4 or 8 had about a one percent chance of being selected, while some of the largest intermediate (year 7 and 8) schools had a more than 90 percent chance of inclusion.

Māori Immersion Sample, Assessed Predominantly in Te Reo
Details of the sample for the Māori immersion assessments will be reported separately.

## Pairing Small Schools

At the year 8 level, five of the 120 chosen schools in the main sample had fewer than 12 year 8 students. For each of these schools, we identified the nearest small school meeting our criteria to be paired with the first school. Wherever possible, schools with eight to 11 students were paired with schools with four to seven students, and vice versa. However, the travelling distances between the schools were also taken into account.

Similar pairing procedures were followed at the year 4 level. Three pairs of very small schools were included in the sample of 120 schools.

## Contacting Schools

In late May and early June, we telephoned the principals or acting principals of all schools in the year 8 sample. In these calls, we briefly explained the purpose of national monitoring, the safeguards for schools and students, and the practical demands that participation would make on schools and students. We informed the principals about the materials which would be arriving in the school (a copy of a 20-minute NEMP videotape plus copies for all staff and trustees of the general NEMP brochure and the information booklet for sample schools). We asked the principals to consult with their staff and Board of Trustees and confirm their participation by the end of June.
A similar procedure was followed at the end of July with the principals of the schools selected in the year 4 samples, and they were asked to respond to the invitation by the end of August.

## Response from Schools

Of the 248 schools originally invited to participate, 247 agreed. A year 7 to 13 integrated high school in the year 8 sample declined to participate because of heavy external demands in the previous year. It was replaced by another integrated school. One very small school in the year 4 sample that was willing to participate was replaced by a similar school because the number of students available in the original school declined to less than the number required (eight).
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Sampling of Students
Each school sent a list of the names of all year 4 or year 8 students on their roll. Using computer-generated random numbers, we randomly selected the required number of students (12 or four plus eight in a pair of small schools), at the same time clustering them into random groups of four students. The schools were then sent a list of their selected students and invited to inform us if special care would be needed in assessing any of those children (e.g. children with disabilities or limited skills in English).
For the year 8 sample, we received 103 comments about particular students. In 43 cases, we randomly selected replacement students because the children initially selected had left the school between the time the roll was provided and the start of the assessment programme in the school, or were expected to be away or involved in special activities throughout the assessment week, or had been included in the roll by mistake. Two more were replaced because they were in Māori immersion classes. The remaining 58 comments concerned children with special needs. Each such child was discussed with the school and a decision agreed. Eight students were replaced because they were very recent immigrants or overseas students who had extremely limited English-language skills. Twenty-nine students were replaced because they had disabilities or other problems of such seriousness that it was agreed that the students would be placed at risk if they participated. Participation was agreed upon for the remaining 21 students, but a special note was prepared to give additional guidance to the teachers who would assess them.

For the year 4 sample, we received 128 comments about particular students. Forty-seven students originally selected were replaced because a student had left the school or was expected to be away throughout the assessment week. Thirteen students were replaced because of their NESB status and very limited English, and two because they were in Māori immersion classes. Twenty-five students were replaced because they had disabilities or other problems of such seriousness the students appeared to be at risk if they participated. Special notes for the assessing teachers were made about 41 children retained in the sample.

## Communication with Parents

Following these discussions with the school, Project staff prepared letters to all of the parents, including a copy of the NEMP brochure, and asked the schools to address the letters and mail them. Parents were told they could obtain further information from Project staff (using an 0800 number) or their school principal, and advised that they had the right to ask that their child be excluded from the assessment.

At the year 8 level, we received a number of phone calls including several from students or parents wanting more information about what would be involved. Six children were replaced because they did not want to participate or their parents did not want them to.

At the year 4 level we also received several phone calls from parents. Some wanted details confirmed or explained (notably about reasons for selection). Five children were replaced at their parents' request.

## Practical Arrangement with Schools

On the basis of preferences expressed by the schools, we then allocated each school to one of the five assessment weeks available and gave them contact information for the two teachers who would come to the school for a week to conduct the assessments. We also provided information about the assessmentschedule and the space and furniture requirements, offering to pay for hire of a nearby facility if the school was too crowded to accommodate the assessment programme. This proved necessary in several cases.


## Results of the Sampling Process

As a result of the considerable care taken, and the attractiveness of the assessment arrangements to schools and children, the attrition from the initial sample was quite low. Less than one percent of selected schools in the main samples did not participate, and less than three percent of the originally sampled children had to be replaced for reasons other than their transfer to another school or planned absence for the assessment week. The main samples can be regarded as very representative of the populations from which they were chosen (all children in New Zealand schools at the two class levels apart from the one to two percent who were in special schools, Māori immersion programmes, or schools with fewer than four year 4 or year 8 children).

Of course, not all the children in the samples actually could be assessed. One student place in the year 4 sample was not filled because insufficient students were available in that schools. Ten year 8 students and 12 year 4 students left school at short notice and could not be replaced. Five year 8 students were overseas or on holiday for the week of the assessment. One year 8 and one year 4 student withdrew, or were withdrawn by their parents, too late to be replaced. Fourteen year 8 students and 14 year 4 students were absent from school throughout the assessment week. Some other students were absent from school for some of their assessment sessions, and a small percentage of performances were lost because of malfunctions in the video-recording process. Some of the students ran out of time to complete the schedules of tasks. Nevertheless, for almost all of the tasks over 90 percent of the sampled students were assessed. Given the complexity of the Project, this is a very acceptable level of participation.

## Composition of the Sample

Because of the sampling approach used, regions were fairly represented in the sample, in approximate proportion to the number of school children in the regions.

| PERCENTAGES OF STUDENTS FROM EACH REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REGION |  | \% Year 4 SAmple | \% Year 8 SAMPLE |
| Northland |  | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Auckland |  | 33.3 | 32.5 |
| Waikato |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Bay of Plenty/Poverty Bay |  | 8.3 | 8.3 |
| Hawkes Bay |  | 4.2 | 3.3 |
| Taranaki |  | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| Wanganui/Manawatu |  | 5.0 | 5.8 |
| Wellington/Wairarapa |  | 10.8 | 10.0 |
| Nelson/Marlborough/West Coast |  | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Canterbury |  | 11.7 | 11.7 |
| Otago |  | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Southland |  | 1.7 | 2.5 |
| DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES: PERCENTAGES OF STUDENTS IN EACH CATEGORY |  |  |  |
| variable | CATEGORY | \% Year 4 Sample | \% Year 8 SAMPLE |
| Gender | Male | 51 | 52 |
|  | Female | 49 | 48 |
| Ethnicity | Pakeha | 70 | 74 |
|  | Māori | 21 | 18 |
|  | Pasifika | 9 | 8 |
| Geographic Zone | Greater Auckland | 33 | 32 |
|  | Other North Island | 45 | 46 |
|  | South Island | 22 | 22 |
| Community Size | < 10,000 | 14 | 16 |
|  | 10,000-100,000 | 25 | 25 |
|  | > 100,000 | 61 | 59 |
| School SES Index | Bottom 30 percent | 28 | 22 |
|  | Middle 40 percent | 40 | 47 |
|  | Top 30 percent | 32 | 31 |
| Main language at home | English | 87 | 87 |
|  | Other | 13 | 13 |
| Size of School | $<25$ y4 students | 19 |  |
|  | 25-60 y 4 students | 41 |  |
|  | > 60 y4 students | 40 |  |
|  | <35 y8 students |  | 18 |
|  | 35-150 y8 student |  | 37 |
|  | > 150 y8 students |  | 45 |
| Type of School | Full Primary |  | 32 |
|  | Intermediate or Mid | ddle | 48 |
|  | Year 7 to 13 High Sc | hool | 14 |
|  | Other (not analysed) |  | 6 |

The range and quantity of information available to us is rapidly increasing, and skill in accessing, collating, interpreting and using information is very helpful to most educational, work and leisure activities.
While there is substantial coverage of information skills in other reports, national monitoring includes this set of assessments specifically focused on information skills which are only lightly or unsystematically covered in other reports. These skills include clarifying information needs; finding suitable sources of information; searching those sources for specific information needed; gathering that information, interpreting, collating and reporting it.


National monitoring provides a "snapshot" of what New Zealand children can do at two levels, at the middle and end of primary education (year 4 and year 8 ).

The main purposes for national monitoring are:

- to meet public accountability and information requirements by identifying and reporting patterns and trends in educational performance
- to provide high quality, detailed information which policy makers, curriculum planners and educators can use to debate and review educational practices and resourcing.
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